IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF NATRONA COUNTY, WYOMING

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 19548-B

THE STATE OF WYOMING,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN HENRY KNOSPLER, JR.,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS VOLUME VII of VII

Page 1843 through Page 1999

9:00 a.m., Tuesday December 23, 2014

Proceedings before the Honorable W. Thomas Sullins, a Judge of the Seventh Judicial District of Wyoming, and a Jury of Twelve at the Natrona County Townsend Justice Center, Casper, Wyoming.

<u>A</u> <u>P</u> <u>P</u> <u>E</u> <u>A</u> <u>R</u> <u>A</u> <u>N</u> <u>C</u> <u>E</u> <u>S</u>

FOR THE STATE:	MR. MICHAEL A. BLONIGEN District Attorney and MR. DANIEL J. ITZEN Assistant District Attorney 201 North David, Suite 400 Casper, Wyoming 82601
FOR THE DEFENDANT:	MR. JOSEPH H. LOW, IV Attorney at Law 1 World Trade Center Suite 2320 Long Beach, California 90831 and MR. TIMOTHY K. NEWCOMB Attorney at Law 170 North 5th Street Laramie, Wyoming 82072
ALCO DRECENE.	The Defendent

ALSO PRESENT: The Defendant

1844

INDEX	PAGE
Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Blonigen Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Low	4 4 9 4
Opening Statement by Mr. Blonigen Opening Statement by Mr. Low	184 214
INDEX TO WITNESSES	
FOR THE STATE:	PAGE
ZACK MILLER Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	2 5 7 2 6 4 2 8 0
MARK DAIGLE Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	311 315
SEAN ELLIS Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	317 337 385 388
SONNY PILCHER Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	4 0 5 4 1 7 4 6 0 4 6 7
ASHLEE LOGAN Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	475 496 551
AARON SHATTO Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	579 625 671 673
DR. JOHN CARVER Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	676 712 732 736

ERVIN ANDUJAR	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	744
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	774
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	812
Realfood Inamination by hit. Tolon	0 1 0
WESTY GUILL	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	816
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	831
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	844
AMBER HUDSON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	882
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	889
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	890
4	
JOHNNY TAYLOR	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	892
FOR THE DEFENDANT:	PAGE
DR. JUDY MELINEK	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	915
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	937
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	961
Recross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	970
FOR THE STATE:	PAGE
JOHNNY TAYLOR	
Direct Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Blonigen	979
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	984
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1000
CRYSTAL MIZE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1009
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1020
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1047
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1049
ELIZABETH TATTON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1051
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1056
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1065
KARA STERNER	1000

Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen 1068 Cross-Examination by Mr. Low 1075

CHRIS SYVERSON Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1080
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1089
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1096
Rediffeet Examination by Mr. 1626h	1090
JENNIFER CORMIER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1100
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1107
MITCHELL BAKER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1109
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1149
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1181
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1185
DAVID HULSHIZER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1214
JENNIFER MALONE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1229
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1243
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1249
KEVIN NORCROSS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1251
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1306
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1340
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1346
KATIE PRECIADO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1350
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1359
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1370
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1372
Re-redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1373
JESUS HERMOSILLO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1374
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1388
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1395
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1396
AMANDA HERRON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1398
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1400

JAMES MICHAEL MOORE Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	14(
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	14(
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	142
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	142
LEAH INNOCCI	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	143
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	145
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	145
JENNIFER BRAMMEIER	1 4 1
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	145
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	14
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	14
STEVEN NORRIS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	148
Direct Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Blonigen	15: 15:
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	163
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	164
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	TO
DR. JOHN CARVER	1 (1)
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	165
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	165
State Rests	165
Defense Motion by Mr. Newcomb	165
Response by Mr. Blonigen	165
Rebuttal by Mr. Newcomb	165
The Court	165
FOR THE DEFENDANT:	PA
RIA ENGEBRETSEN Direct Examination by Mr. Low	160
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	16
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	16
JOHN DAILY	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	16
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	170
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	179
Recross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	

KEVIN ELKIN Direct Examination by Mr. Low	180
Cross-Examination by Mr. Itzen	181
	101
SCOTT LEHMAN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	182
Cross-Examination by Mr. Itzen	187
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	188
SEAN ELLIS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	189
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	189
Defense Rests	189
REBUTTAL EVIDENCE	
FOR THE STATE:	PAG
SEAN ELLIS Divert Eveningtion by Mr. Dieningen	1 0 0
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	189
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	190
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	190
BRAD HALTER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	190
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	190
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	191
Jury Instruction Conference	191
Closing Argument by Mr. Blonigen	192
Closing Argument by Mr. Low	195
Rebuttal Argument by Mr. Blonigen	198
	1 0 0
Verdict	199

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

FOR	ΤI	HE STATE	IDEN.	TIFIED	RECEIVED
100	_	Known D1	NA Sample	1461	1462
101	_	Baldwin	's T-Shirt	605	607
102	_	Photo:	Contents of Wallet	581	582
103	_	Photo:	Baldwin Car	601	601
104	_	Photo:	Baldwin Car	601	601
105	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Upper Torso	583	3 584
106	-	Photo: Hole	T-Shirt with Bullet	583	3 584
107	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Palm	591	591
108	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Back of Hand	591	591
109	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Forearm/Wrist	591	591
110	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Forearm	591	. 591
111	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Hand and Wris	t 596	5 597
112	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Arm	596	5 597
113	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Exit Hole	596	5 597
114	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Lower Torso	596	5 597
115	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Entry Wound	609	609
116	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Right Hand	620	620
117	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Upper Torso	620	620
118	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Exit Wound	620	620
119	_	Autopsy	Photo	620	620
120	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Upper Arm	620	620
121	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Right Hand	620	620
122	_	Photo:	Baldwin	620	620

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

124 - Photo: Baldwin and Body Bag	672	673
200 - Known DNA Sample	1461	1462
201 - Gray Jacket	1217	1217
202 - Gunshot Residue Kit	1233	1243
203 - Gunshot Residue Kit	1470	1470
204 - Firearm	1284	1285
205 - Clip from Firearm	1285	1286
206 - Spare Clips	1291	1291
207 - Video of Stop	900	900
208 - Photo: Knospler Car at Stop	1116	1117
209 - Photo: Knospler Car at Stop	1116	1117
210 - Photo: Knospler Car-Door Fra	ame 1116	1117
211 - Photo: Knospler Car-Interior	c 1116	1117
212 - Photo: Knospler Car-Interior with Backpack	1123	1123
213 - Photo: Knospler Car-Backpack	< 1123	1123
214 - Photo: Knospler Car	1127	1128
215 - Photo: Knospler Car	1127	1128
216 - Photo: Knospler-Front	335	336
217 - Photo: Knospler-Head	1131	1133
218 - Photo: Knospler-Front	1131	1133
219 - Photo: Knospler-Back	1135	1135
220 - Photo: Knospler-Left Side	1135	1135
221 - Photo: Knospler-Right Side	1135	1135
222 - Photo: Knospler-Left Side	1138	1138
223 - Photo: Knospler-Left Hand	1138	1138

224 - Photo: Knospler-Pants	1136	1137
225 - Photo: Knospler-Right Palm	1140	1148
226 - Photo: Knospler-Right Hand	1140	1148
227 - Photo: Knospler-Left Palm	1138	1138
228 - Photo: Knospler-Left Hand	1138	1138
229 - Photo: Knospler-Back	1140	1141
230 - Photo: Knospler-Front	1140	1141
232 - Photo: Knospler	1131	1133
233 - Spent Cartridges	1287	1287
234 - Photo: Gun in Packaging	610	611
300 - CD: Officer Miller Video	262	263
301 - CD: Racks Video	320	320
302 - Racks Photo: East End	1342	1343
303 - Racks Photo: Front	1258	1259
304 - Racks Photo: West End	1257	1259
305 - Racks Photo: Front Door	1257	1259
306 - Racks Photo: Front Door	1258	1259
307 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1353	1353
308 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1353	1353
309 - Racks Photo: Scene without Body and Car	1254	1255
310 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1353	1353
311 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1258	1259
312 - Racks Photo: Parking Spot	1272	1272
313 - Racks Photo: Body at Scene	1253	1253

314 - Racks Photo: Body with Tarp 1269 1269 315 - Racks Photo: Body without 827 828 316 - Racks Photo: Hand 1269 1269 317 - Racks Photo: Forearm 1269 1269 318 - Racks Photo: Forearm 1269 1269 318 - Racks Photo: Scene 1342 1343 319 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks 1357 1358 320 - Racks Photo: Glass 1266 1266 321 - Racks Photo: Glass and 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330						
Tarp 827 828 316 - Racks Photo: Hand 1269 1269 317 - Racks Photo: Forearm 1269 1269 318 - Racks Photo: Scene 1342 1343 319 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks and Footprints 1357 1358 320 - Racks Photo: Glass 1266 1266 321 - Racks Photo: Glass and Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406	314	-		Body with Tarp	1269	1269
317 - Racks Photo: Forearm 1269 1269 318 - Racks Photo: Scene 1342 1343 319 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks and Footprints 1357 1358 320 - Racks Photo: Glass 1266 1266 321 - Racks Photo: Glass and Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Pront of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	315	-		Body without	827	828
318 - Racks Photo: Scene 1342 1343 319 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks and Footprints 1357 1358 320 - Racks Photo: Glass 1266 1266 321 - Racks Photo: Glass and Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck With Measurement 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck With Measurement 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck With Measurement 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck Mith Measurement 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	316	-	Racks Photo:	Hand	1269	1269
319 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks and Footprints 1357 1358 320 - Racks Photo: Glass 1266 1266 321 - Racks Photo: Glass and Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	317	-	Racks Photo:	Forearm	1269	1269
and Footprints 1357 1358 320 - Racks Photo: Glass 1266 1266 321 - Racks Photo: Glass and Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	318	_	Racks Photo:	Scene	1342	1343
321 - Racks Photo: Glass and Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	319	-			1357	1358
Footprints 1266 1266 322 - Racks Photo: Shovel with 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	320	-	Racks Photo:	Glass	1266	1266
Glass 1266 1266 323 - Racks Photo: Shovel with 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	321	-		Glass and	1266	1266
Glass 1266 1266 324 - Racks Photo: Front of Truck 1381 1381 325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	322	-		Shovel with	1266	1266
325 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	323	-		Shovel with	1266	1266
326 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	324	-	Racks Photo:	Front of Truck	1381	1381
with Measurement 1381 1381 327 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	325	-	Racks Photo:	Dent in Truck	1381	1381
with Measurement 1381 1381 328 - Racks Photo: Dent in Truck with Measurement 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	326	-			1381	1381
with Measurement 1381 1381 329 - CD: 911 Call #1 490 492 330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 406 406	327	-			1381	1381
<pre>330 - CD: 911 Call #2 887 888 331 - Daytime Racks Photo:</pre>	328	-			1381	1381
 331 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exterior 332 - Daytime Racks Photo: 	329	-	CD: 911 Call	L #1	490	492
Exterior 406 406 332 - Daytime Racks Photo:	330	-	CD: 911 Call	L #2	887	888
	331	-	_	s Photo:	406	406
	332	-	_	s Photo:	406	406

333 - Daytime Racks Photo: Parking Lot	406	406
334 - Daytime Racks Photo: Exit	406	406
335 - Daytime Racks Photo: West View of Parking Lot	406	406
336 - Daytime Racks Photo: East View of Parking Lot	406	406
337 - Racks Photo: Bar Area	1011	1011
338 - Racks Photo: Pool Table Area	482	483
341 - Racks Photo: Pool Table Area	761	761
343 - Racks Photo: Bar Area	745	746
344 - Racks Photo: Front Bar Area	750	751
345 - Racks Photo: Body with Tarp	1342	1343
346 - Racks Photo: Footprints and Glass	1780	1781
400 - Gunshot Residue Kit: Headliner	1238	1243
401 - Gunshot Residue Kit: Weather Stripping	1238	1243
402 - Gunshot Residue Kit: Window Frame	1238	1243
403 - Pill Bottle	1302	1303
404 - Rolling Papers	1303	1303
405 - Photo: Knospler Car	492	493
406 - Photo: Knospler Car Passenger Side	1274	1275
407 - Photo: Knospler Car Driver Side	1274	1275
408 - Photo: Knospler Car Back Seat	1277	1278

409 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior with Glass	1277	1278
410 - Photo: Knospler Car Driver Seat with Glass	1277	1278
411 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior Door	1277	1278
412 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior Front Seats	1277	1278
413 - Photo: Knospler Car Gun in Backpack	1281	1282
414 - Photo: Knospler Car Gun in Backpack	1281	1282
415 - Photo: Gun as Found	1281	1282
416 - Photo: Gun	1288	1288
417 - Photo: Gun Close-up	1288	1288
418 - Photo: Gun Barrel Close-up	1288	1288
419 - Photo: Clips in Place in Backpack	1290	1290
420 - Photo: Extra Clips	1290	1290
421 - Photo: Knospler Car Front Seat without Backpack	1292	1293
422 - Photo: Knospler Car Console	1292	1293
423 - Photo: Knospler Car	1295	1295
424 - Photo: Knospler Car Glass in Driver Door Window	1295	1295
425 - Photo: Knospler Car Window Frame with Marker	1295	1295
426 - Photo: Knospler Car Collection of GSR	1298	1299
427 - Photo: Knospler Car Collection of GSR	1298	1299

428 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Collection of GSR	r	1298	1299
429 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Collection of GSR	r	1298	1299
430 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Collection of GSR	r	1298	1299
431 -	Photo: Rolling Pap	ers	1304	1304
432 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Driver Side Door	r	1305	1305
433 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Inside Driver Door	r	1305	1305
434 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Interior	r	1385	1385
435 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Areas of Swabs	r	1385	1385
436 -	Photo: Areas with	Lumalight	1385	1385
437 -	Photo: Knospler Ca	r Swabbing	1385	1385
438 -	Photo: Knospler Ca Pill Bottle	r	1304	1304
439 -	Racks Photo: Parki with Footprints	ng Space	1261	1261
440 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
441 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
442 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
443 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
444 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
445 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
446 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778
447 -	Photo: Reenactment		1777	1778

448	-		Knospler Car asurement		1777	1778
449	-	Photo: Measuren	Finger with ment		1777	1778
502	_	Photo:	Firearm		1490	1490
503	-	Photo:	Firearm		1490	1490
504	-	Photo:	Front of T-S	Shirt	1500	1500
505	-	Photo:	Back of T-Sh	nirt	1500	1500
506	-	Photo:	T-Shirt Ent	ry Hole	1500	1500
507	-	Photo:	T-Shirt Ent	ry Hole	1500	1500
508	-	Photo:	Contact Test	t Firing	1549	1549
509	_	Fabric:	6" Test Fi	ring	1549	1549
510	_	Photo:	6" Test Fire	9	1549	1549
511	_	Fabric:	6" Test Pat	ttern	1549	1549
512	_	Photo:	18" Test Fir	ring	1549	1549
513	-	Fabric:	18" Test Fi	ire	1549	1549
514	_	Photo:	24" Test Fir	ring	1549	1549
515	_	Fabric:	24" Test Fi	ire	1549	1549
517	-	Photo:	Back of Jacl	ket	1435	1436
518	-	Photo:	Jacket with	Markers	1437	1438
519	-	Photo:	Jacket with	Markers	1437	1438
520	-	Photo:	Location of	LI4	1444	1445
521	_	Photo: and LI6	Location of	LI5	1444	1445
522	_	Photo:	Jacket with	Markers	1439	1440
523	_	Photo:	Close-up of	LI9	1446	1453
			-			

524 - Photo: Back of Jacket with Markers	1446	1447
525 - Photo: Deputy Taylor's Shirt with Markers	1448	1449
526 - Photo: Deputy Taylor's Shirt with Markers	1448	1449
527 - Photo: Close-up of Shirt	1448	1449
528 - Photo: Close-up of Shirt	1448	1449
528 - Griess Test - Contact	1545	1546
529 - Griess Test - 6"	1545	1546
530 - Griess Test - 18"		1546
531 - Griess Test - 24"	1545	1546
533 - Griess Test	1543	1543
FOR THE DEFENSE:		
A - Diagram	365	366
B - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	369	370
C - Racks Photo: Parking Lot Front Doors	370	370
Front Doors		
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649	650
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal E - Photo: Body Bag	649 649	650 650
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649	650
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal E - Photo: Body Bag	649 649	650 650
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal E - Photo: Body Bag F - Photo: Body Bag	649 649 649	650 650 650 650
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal E - Photo: Body Bag F - Photo: Body Bag G - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649 649 649 649	650 650 650 650
Front Doors D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal E - Photo: Body Bag F - Photo: Body Bag G - Photo: Body Bag and Seal H - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649 649 649 649 649	650 650 650 650 650

L - Photo:	Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
M - Photo:	Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
N - Photo:	Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
O - Photo:	Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
P - Photo:	Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
Q - Photo:	Baldwin-Forearm and Hand	722	722
R - Photo:	Baldwin-Hand and Forearm	722	722
S - Photo:	Baldwin-Hand	725	725
T - Photo:	Baldwin-Arm	730	730
U - Photo:	Reenactment	913	914
V - Photo:	Baldwin-Exit Wound	913	914
W - Photo:	Baldwin-Entry Wound	913	914
X - Photo:	Baldwin-Exit Wound	913	914
Y - Photo:	Baldwin-Entry Wound	913	914
Z - Photo:	Baldwin	913	914
AA - Photo:	Knospler Car Interior	1162	1309
BB - Photo:	Knospler Car Interior	1162	1309
CC - Photo:	Knospler Car Interior	1162	1309
DD - Photo:	Knospler Car Back Seat	1162	1309
EE - Photo:	Knospler Car Back Seat	1162	1309
	Knospler Car or Front	1162	1309
	Knospler Car or Rear	1162	1309
HH - Photo:	Knospler-Feet	1172	1173
II - Photo:	Knospler-Shoe	1172	1173

			1860
JJ - Photo		1172	1173
KK - Photo: Knospler- Close-up with Gla		1172	1173
LL - Photo: Money		1172	1173
MM - Photo: ID Cards, Cards, Cash, Lip		1172	1173
NN - Photo: Glass on	Table	1172	1173
00 - Photo: Glass on	Table	1172	1173
PP - Photo: Glass on	Table	1172	1173
QQ - Photo: Glass on	Table	1172	1173
RR - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309
SS - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309
TT - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309
UU - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309
VV - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309
WW - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309
XX - Racks Photo: Out	side	1309	1309

LL - Photo: Money	1172	1173
MM - Photo: ID Cards, Credit Cards, Cash, Lip Balm	1172	1173
NN - Photo: Glass on Table	1172	1173
00 - Photo: Glass on Table	1172	1173
PP - Photo: Glass on Table	1172	1173
QQ - Photo: Glass on Table	1172	1173
RR - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
SS - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
TT - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
UU - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
VV - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
WW - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
XX - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
YY - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1309
ZZ - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1309
Al - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A2 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A3 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A4 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A5 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A6 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A7 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310

	1 2 0 0	1 2 1 0
A8 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	
A9 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
AlO - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
All - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
A12 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
A13 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot and Front Doors	1309	1310
Al4 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass	1309	1310
A15 - Racks Photo: Baldwin at Scene	1309	1310
Al6 - Racks Photo: Baldwin at Scene	1309	1310
A17 - Racks Photo: Baldwin at Scene	1309	1310
A18 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks with Measurement	1309	1310
A19 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks with Measurement	1309	1310
A20 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks with Measurement	1309	1310
A21 - Racks Photo: Parking Space and Footprints	1309	1310
A22 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1310
A23 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1310
A24 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1310
A25 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior	1310	1310
A26 - Photo: Knospler Car	1310	1310
A27 - Photo: Knospler Car Driver Door	1310	1310
A28 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior Front	1310	1310

A29	-	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A30	_	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A31	-	Photo: Knospler Passenger Seat	Car	1310	1310
A32	-	Photo: Knospler Passenger Side	Car	1310	1310
A33	_	Photo: Backpack	with Laptop	1310	1310
A34	-	Photo: Knospler	Car Floor	1310	1310
A35	-	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A36	_	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A37	_	Photo: Knospler	Car - Towel	1310	1310
A38	-	Photo: Knospler	Car Interior	1310	1310
A39	-	Photo: Knospler Console, Part of		1310	1310
A40	-	Photo: Knospler Emergency Brake,		1310	1310
A41	-	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A42	-	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A43	-	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A44	-	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A45	-	Photo: Knospler Interior	Car	1310	1310
A46	-	Photo: Knospler Glass in Door	Car	1310	1310
A47	-	Photo: Knospler Glass in Door	Car	1310	1310
A48	_	Photo: Knospler	Car Interior	1310	1310

B1 -	Glass in Bag	1711	1712
F1 -	Photos: Reenactment	1719	1807
LB2 -	Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB3 -	Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB4 -	Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB5 -	Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB6 -	Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	(The following proceedings were
3	held in open court, out of the presence of the
4	jury:)
5	THE COURT: Good morning. Thank you
6	very much. Please be seated and court will come
7	to order.
8	We convene in the State of Wyoming versus
9	John Henry Knospler, Jr., in Criminal Action
10	19548-в.
11	The jury is not present; but we do have
12	the defendant, defense counsel, counsel for the
13	State.
14	I had three matters pending that I wanted
15	to take up quickly. As I think everybody knows, I
16	hate to have the jury waiting for undue periods of
17	time.
18	But, first of all, we had Defendant's
19	Motion to Strike Testimony, Motion to Reconsider,
20	and Renewal of Motion to Exclude Any Expert
21	Testimony that Mr. Baldwin "Fell into Mr.
22	Knospler's Car" After Being Shot. That was filed
23	yesterday. I did have a chance to look at the
24	motion and the request, and I note that there's a
25	good summary of the positions that I think have

already been addressed relative to matters concerning Ms. Mize's testimony, Mr. Syverson's testimony, and the Court's previous rulings; and I'll deny the motions and requests. I don't see that I can do any better than what I previously attempted to do in addressing those matters at the 7 outset and during the trial itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8 Second, we have Defendant's Exhibits G1 9 and G2, the video clips of individuals breaking 10 car windows. When I allowed those to be presented 11 in the presence of the jury, I felt they were 12 materials relied upon by the expert. They were a 13 demonstrative aid as I see it, and so I think the 14 use of the exhibits in connection with Mr. Daily's 15 testimony was appropriate. But I do not see that 16 that provides sufficient foundation for an actual 17 receipt of Exhibits G1 and G2 into evidence. So I 18 would decline receipt of those exhibits. I would ask that Defense counsel make sure we do have 19 20 copies of G1 and G2 for the record so that that 21 can be preserved.

2.2 The third matter was the request of the 23 State of Wyoming for relief from the order or 24 orders in limine concerning defendant's military 25 discipline and questions relative to military

service and the incident of August 10, 2002, in 1 2 Oceanside, California. 3 Any additional argument or position from either side relative to those requests, Mr. 4 5 Blonigen? 6 MR. BLONIGEN: Just a couple points. 7 I did read Mr. Newcomb's response, Judge. He 8 claims it's remote. It's not remote to the time 9 which the witness knew him. The 2002 period of 10 time is within the same period of time or very 11 close to when they were in the Marines together. 12 Moreover, the case law establishes the witness 13 need not be aware of the particular incident 14 referred to. Secondly -- and the question was 15 directly asked, Judge, what's his reputation for 16 peacefulness. But, you know, Your Honor, 17 that's -- remember in Taul, they ask about a 18 shoplifting conviction that the witness knew 19 nothing about. 20 The second thing, Judge, is the second issue is the military stuff. He was talking about 21 2.2 his character as a Marine, Judge. This man has 23 been demoted, he had an alcohol problem. ТΟ 24 simply allow them to go forward and present to 25 this jury that he was an untouched Marine is

1866

1 really not within the facts. 2 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 3 From the Defense, Mr. Newcomb? MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, Your Honor. 4 The 5 2002 incident in San Diego is too remote, and it is prejudicial. It is arguably within the Court's 6 7 discretion to admit it. The DUI and the 8 subsequent military discipline for that has no --9 is simply not relevant conduct as to Mr. 10 Knospler's -- the testimony regarding his 11 peacefulness. That he's a Marine, he's a Marine, 12 and the testimony went to his peacefulness. And 13 the DUI and the subsequent problems with that 14 simply has no relevance to DUI any less than Mr. Baldwin's 18 convictions would have relevance to 15 16 aggression. There's simply no basis. 17 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 18 I think, first of all, under Rule 405 on 19 cross-examination, inquiry is allowable into 20 relevant, specific instances of conduct. And it's a little bit challenging, as I see it, given the 21 2.2 tremendous amount of case law we have on 404 and 23 to some extent Rule 405 and how to deal with this 24 particular situation. I don't think it is totally 25 clear-cut because it still requires that they be

1867

1 relevant and specific instances of conduct. Also, 2 I have questions as to how you deal with the 3 situation when you go through this 404(b) analysis, the three preliminary factors, the 4 additional four or five factors, and then the 5 additional three factors, and you try to analyze 6 7 all that; and then you have a witness come in 8 that, as argued by the State, may open the door to 9 a different review. And it's very challenging as 10 I see it, and I wish that the case law would make 11 some black letter law that helps us out and makes 12 things easier rather than more complicated. 13 But with those comments, the bottom line 14 is I think I'm going to go with my sort of gut feeling on this. It does appear that much of

15 Mr. Lehman's testimony relative to the character 16 17 of Mr. Knospler was testimony related to military 18 service, military training, military events; and 19 he used that for a basis for his opinions relative 20 to Mr. Knospler's judgment, assessing a situation, and decision-making ability. I think that given 21 2.2 the testimony as I see it, inquiry should be 23 allowed into specific instances that would relate 24 to the military, the military discipline, and the 25 matters of concern to his military service which

1

was foundational to those opinions.

2 Second, I'm going to decline the request 3 to inquire into the Oceanside, California event. I think it's a really close call. I think that I 4 5 could very easily allow that inquiry. And basically what it comes down to is the same 6 7 evaluation under 404(b), which in large measure I 8 think rests on what I see as a 403 analysis 9 whether the prejudice outweighs the potential 10 probative value, especially as to an event that 11 may be a little bit removed in time, may be close, 12 may be has some comparable characteristics to the 13 argument of aggressiveness and violence; but I 14 just think that the prejudicial effect outweighs 15 the probative value. So like I say, I'm going 16 with my gut on that. And at least as to this 17 witness, I would preclude any inquiry as to that 18 2002 Oceanside, California incident. So those 19 will be my rulings. 20 Was there any other preliminary matters, 21 Mr. Low? 2.2 MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: You rose first. 24 Thank you. I appreciate MR. LOW: 25 There'll be another witness, character it.

1 witness. His experience, again, will be with John 2 in the military. He will tell you about a story 3 where they were at Denny's and a guy tried to start some stuff with them, and John defused the 4 5 whole thing and took them off, he broke it up. I'm telling you this because if you heard 6 7 that and then Mr. Blonigen again asks you to say 8 that's opening the door, and you would be inclined 9 to change what you just ruled, I want to know that 10 ahead of time so I can do the right thing because I was under the impression that that stuff was out 11 12 and that I wasn't allowed to inquire. Clearly, 13 I'm wrong, so I want to make sure I'm clear this 14 time before I go forward so that I know I 15 understand what we're looking at if that testimony 16 comes in. So are you able to share that with us, 17 Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: I don't think I can give 19 an advisory ruling without hearing the testimony 20 and what's proposed. As I indicated, with respect to Mr. Lehman, since there was so much military 21 2.2 testimony, that's why I am allowing for further 23 inquiry as to specific instances as to the 24 military discipline conduct side. 25 All right, sir. MR. LOW:

1 THE COURT: The other one, I don't 2 know that I can tell you until I hear it. 3 MR. LOW: Well, if I -- are you able to tell me if I elicit any questions from the next 4 5 witness on reputation for peacefulness, is that going to open the door with regards to the 2002, 6 7 you know --8 THE COURT: I would think so. 9 MR. LOW: Okay. That's -- I 10 appreciate that. 11 THE COURT: I'm not going to give 12 you an absolute "yes" or "no." 13 MR. LOW: Thank you. I appreciate 14 that. 15 Lastly, it's my understanding that now 16 the question that Mr. Blonigen or questions that 17 he's allowed to ask with regards to the military 18 discipline and so forth goes along the line of 19 have you heard -- because the question to the 20 witness by me was for reputation for peacefulness and to the military. So I don't want to object a 21 2.2 lot; I just want to make sure I'm doing the right 23 thing. Is there a limit that you're aware of with 24 regards to the way the question Mr. Blonigen is 25 going to ask needs to be phrased because I'm under

1 the impression there is, but clearly I've been 2 wrong before. 3 THE COURT: I don't think I can jump in there either. I think I'll have to hear the 4 5 question or questions -- that is the one question that I think in case law they have reviewed, do 6 7 you have any knowledge of this or have you heard 8 of this specific incident. 9 MR. LOW: And then along that line, 10 is Mr. Blonigen allowed to inquire only or is he allowed to follow up and so forth and engage in a 11 12 colloquy about their opinions on that? In other 13 words, I'm under the impression he has to live 14 with whatever answer he gets, that it's not 15 allowed to get specific and argue back and forth 16 on that. 17 THE COURT: If he doesn't know or 18 have any knowledge of the military demotion or 19 performance or DUI matter? 20 MR. LOW: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Blonigen, what are 2.2 you proposing there? 23 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, Mr. Itzen 24 will be doing the examination. I think the law is 25 pretty clear that you can ask him did you know or

1 did you hear, but you have to accept their answers 2 basically. 3 THE COURT: Correct. 4 MR. BLONIGEN: That's mv understanding of the law. 5 THE COURT: But there may be 6 7 follow-up questions under certain circumstances. 8 MR. BLONIGEN: Also, Judge, we'd add 9 if they want to throw another witness in on this 10 thing, they're ratcheting up the relevant value of 11 this evidence. And as the McDowell case said, you 12 can't simply open a door to something that 13 otherwise is not admissible, such as the incident, the assault in Oceanside, and then pretend it 14 15 didn't happen and present -- so if you're going to 16 make that a center point of your -- yet another 17 witness, I think it does increase the probative 18 value of the evidence because it's being made more 19 and more an issue in the case. 20 Secondly, Judge, Mr. Low told me this morning that his client was not testifying and 21 that that had been decided. I'm a little 2.2 23 concerned. I come in today, and I see them 24 wheeling a paddle and a door -- a door to a car 25 with a window into the conference room over here.

1 Now, I've had no notice of any experimentations or 2 anything else, Your Honor, and that concerns me. 3 It concerns me particularly after, you know, some of the stuff we saw yesterday about 4 5 suggesting we have jury views and things. So I would like the Court to address that with Mr. Low 6 7 and what exactly the purpose of those items is. 8 THE COURT: Well, they're not in the courtroom at this time. 9 10 MR. BLONIGEN: They're not in the courtroom itself, no. But I can't -- and if 11 12 they're for closing, Judge, they weren't items 13 used in evidence in the case. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Low, demonstrative 15 aids to be used during closing or during evidence? 16 MR. LOW: The door that Mr. Blonigen 17 refers to is a car door from a 2007 Chevy Cobalt. 18 The manuals and the specialists will tell you from 19 2006 to 2010, it's the exact same door. So what 20 am I using it for? Demonstrative only. That's it. 21 2.2 And then second of all, he also brought up the paddles. The paddles are Marine Corps 23 24 paddles that you can only get if you're in Recon. 25 And they're individually made for the person.

1874

1 They reflect how they feel about you. Those are 2 being brought in by a witness who is going to 3 testify again about John's character with regards to how well he knows him. So that's -- that was 4 demonstrative as well. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, also, I 8 would -- if Mr. Low can confirm the plan is not to 9 have the client testify, I don't have to get into 10 the next point. I don't know if you're ready to 11 do that or not. 12 MR. LOW: If this is a good time, 13 yes, sir. 14 MR. BLONIGEN: I had another issue 15 to bring up if he was going to testify in Harris versus New York, Judge. If he's not going to 16 17 testify, it's not an issue. 18 THE COURT: Well, let's take care of the advisement we need to do in the record. 19 I'11 20 be directing myself to you, Mr. Knospler. Do you understand you have a right to testify in this 21 2.2 case if you wish to do so? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Judge. 24 THE COURT: And you've had a chance 25 to confer with your attorneys and give due

1 consideration to whether to testify or not? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 3 THE COURT: You understand on the 4 other side that you have an absolute right to 5 remain silent and to not testify if you wish? And obviously, you've given some consideration to that 6 7 also? 8 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: You understand that it's 11 a final decision, once you make that decision not 12 to testify, it would be difficult to reopen the 13 case or to have you change your mind? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Are you making 16 that decision to not testify in this case 17 voluntarily and of your own free will? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 19 THE COURT: And you have fully 20 discussed your options with counsel, and you're making the decision based upon their advice but 21 2.2 not -- you understand it's not their decision, but 23 it is your decision? 24 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, 25 Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. And so you would 2 confirm to the Court you would not -- you would 3 exercise your right not to testify in this case --THE DEFENDANT: I would. 4 5 THE COURT: -- today? Okay. Thank you very much. 6 7 MR. BLONIGEN: I think, Judge, if 8 that's the case, then we're not going to have an 9 impeachment issue. So Harris versus New York is, 10 of course, whether you can use statements obtained 11 in violation of Miranda in impeachment. And the 12 Court says yes, but if he's not testifying, then 13 it's not an issue. 14 THE COURT: That's exactly what 15 crossed my mind when you mentioned the case law. 16 Very good. Thank you very much. 17 Anything else? 18 MR. BLONIGEN: Judge, I know that --19 just to let you know what we're doing, we'll 20 anticipate two very short rebuttal witnesses, the 21 officer who was involved in Mr. Elkin's incident 2.2 and Mr. Ellis, who would simply put in some of the 23 measurements and things I referred to yesterday in 24 my cross-examination of Mr. Daily. He will be 25 also very, very short.

1 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 2 Well, let's have the jury panel brought 3 in, then, Ms. Tuma. 4 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. 5 (The following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 8 Please be seated. Court will come back to order. 9 And let the record reflect that the 13 10 members of the jury panel have now joined us, and Mr. Lehman has retaken the witness stand. 11 So I 12 believe we're in cross-examination of Mr. Lehman. 13 But first, let me confirm for the record, 14 the parties ready to proceed here today, day seven 15 of the trial proceedings, the State? 16 MR. BLONIGEN: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: And the Defense? 18 MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And we'd tell the 20 ladies and gentlemen of the jury that apologies for being a little slow in getting started here 21 2.2 today, but we did have matters we took up. So we 23 have been working and we're prepared, I think, to 24 move forward with the proceedings in this trial 25 here this morning.

1878

1	So cross-examination, Mr. Itzen.
2	MR. ITZEN: Yes, sir.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. ITZEN:
5	Q. Good morning, sir.
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. Now, on October 3rd of last year, you
8	didn't see how much the defendant had to drink;
9	correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. You didn't see how he interacted with
12	other people that night?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. You didn't overhear his conversations
15	with other folks that night; correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. You didn't see the defendant with
18	marijuana that night?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. And you didn't see him get kicked out of
21	the bar that night; correct?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. You would agree the only people that
24	would have saw those things were the people that
25	were there?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. And you weren't there when the officer
3	asked him how his window got broke; correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. And you weren't there when the officer
6	smelled the marijuana; correct?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And you weren't there to hear him say he
9	wasn't in a fight that night; correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. In fact, the only person that would have
12	heard those things would have been the officer;
13	correct?
14	A. I can't speak to that.
15	Q. In fact, you had no interactions with the
16	defendant on October 3rd of last year?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. Where were you October 3rd of last year,
19	sir?
20	A. I was in Philadelphia at business school.
21	Q. Not in Casper?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. In fact, when was the last time you'd
24	seen the defendant prior to October 3rd?
25	A. I saw him at a buddy and teammate of

1 ours, Matt Compton's wedding. Would have been 2 August of 2011, I believe. 3 Q. All right. Almost two-plus years; correct? 4 5 Α. That's right. Now, you talked about your experiences in 6 Ο. 7 the Marine Corps. The Marine Corps taught you 8 about guns; correct? 9 Α. Sure. 10 Q. And they taught you about gun safety; 11 correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 And they taught you about making Ο. 14 decisions when handling a gun? A. That's correct. 15 16 Now, the Marine Corps didn't teach you to Q. 17 handle guns when you were drunk; correct? 18 Α. No. 19 They didn't teach you about handling guns Q. 20 after using controlled substances? Α. No. 21 2.2 Q. In your experience in life, people lose 23 the ability to clearly think when they're 24 drinking; correct? 25 A. Could be different for different people,

1	but yeah, generally.
2	Q. When people are drinking, they may not be
3	able to accurately perceive things; correct?
4	A. I'm not sure. It depends on the level of
5	drinking I would imagine.
6	Q. You would agree at some level, you're not
7	able to accurately perceive things?
8	A. At an extremely heavy level of drinking,
9	I would assume that yeah, there's some impact
10	there. I think, again, it depends on the person.
11	Q. And when you use a gun, you got to
12	accurately perceive events, don't you?
13	A. I'm sorry?
14	Q. When you're using a gun, you have to
15	accurately perceive the events that you're looking
16	at?
17	A. The best that you can.
18	Q. And alcohol doesn't help that, does it?
19	A. No, I wouldn't say helps.
20	Q. When you use a gun, you have to be able
21	to think clearly; correct?
22	A. I don't know if you have to you have
23	to think clearly when you use a gun. I mean,
24	you
25	Q. Those are some of the principles the

1	Marine Corps taught you; correct?
2	A. To be clearheaded when you use a gun or
3	just to make the right judgment when you use a
4	gun?
5	Q. Yes, sir.
6	A. Sure. We assess threats, sure.
7	Q. And you'd agree it's not a good idea to
8	handle firearms when you're drunk?
9	A. Again, depends on the situation.
10	Q. And, in fact, the Marine Corps didn't let
11	you get drunk and go use firearms; correct?
12	A. In the Marines, we didn't they didn't
13	encourage us to drink and go use weapons.
14	Q. Correct. Because oftentimes, mistakes
15	happen; correct?
16	A. Yeah. But if I was threatened with my
17	life
18	Q. Well, no, sir. Just hang on. Mistakes
19	happen; correct?
20	A. I guess they could happen if you were
21	drinking or if you weren't drinking.
22	Q. Now, you talked about the defendant in
23	the military; correct?
24	A. I did.
25	Q. Were you aware he got demoted?

1 Α. Yeah, I did hear about that. 2 Got demoted from a sergeant down to a Ο. 3 corporal, I believe; correct? 4 Yeah, I did hear about that. Α. 5 And that was in 2008? Ο. 6 Sounds about right. Α. 7 Q. Now, were you also aware that he had an 8 Article 86 filed against him for unauthorized 9 absences? 10 I was not actually aware of that. Α. 11 Were you aware of an Article 91 Ο. 12 proceeding against the defendant for failure to 13 cooperate? No. But I've heard of other Marines 14 Α. 15 getting --16 Sure. But you didn't know that about Q. 17 him? 18 No, not that exact one. Α. 19 Were you aware of an Article 92, failure Q. 20 to follow a direct order? 21 As a result of the NJP? Α. 2.2 Q. I believe so, sir. 23 Α. I heard something about the NJP, yeah. 24 And an Article 117 as well? Q. 25 I'm not sure what that is. Α.

CROSS - SCOTT LEHMAN - ITZEN

1	Q. But you've never heard about that;
2	correct?
3	A. Not that article, no.
4	Q. Now, were you aware of his performance
5	reviews?
6	A. Not exactly, no.
7	Q. And you wouldn't know if they were poor
8	performance reviews or not?
9	A. I think we all got poor performance
10	reviews from time to time. No, I was not aware of
11	exact poor performance reviews.
12	MR. ITZEN: Your Honor, if I can
13	just have a moment.
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	Q. (BY MR. ITZEN) My final question for
16	you, sir, were you aware the defendant was written
17	up for using poor judgment while drinking by his
18	superiors?
19	A. I was aware of an alcohol-related
20	incident sometime in the Marine Corps by him, yes.
21	MR. ITZEN: Thank you for your time.
22	THE WITNESS: Sure.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
24	Redirect examination.
25	MR. LOW: Thank you.

CROSS - SCOTT LEHMAN - ITZEN

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LOW:
3	Q. What does NJP stand for?
4	A. I can't even remember. It's been ten
5	years for me, so.
6	Q. Does the phrase nonjudicial punishment
7	mean anything to you?
8	A. Sure. Yes, it does.
9	Q. What is that, as far as you understand?
10	A. It can mean it's a penalty the Marines
11	hand down for about anything. I feel like you
12	could get an NJP for not tailoring your cammies
13	the right way.
14	Q. You ever hear of a thing called an
15	Article 134?
16	A. I have not.
17	Q. They call it the general catchall.
18	Remember that?
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q. And you can get an NJP because you showed
21	up to formation late?
22	A. Sure.
23	Q. You can get an NJP because your gear
24	locker wasn't straight?
25	A. That's right.

1	Q. You can get an NJP because you didn't
2	clean your weapon right?
3	A. Yep.
4	Q. You can get an NJP because you left your
5	weapon with your buddy and you went to use the
6	head and you're never supposed to leave the weapon
7	behind at any point?
8	A. Sure.
9	Q. You can get an NJP for being out in town
10	and having too much alcohol?
11	A. That's exactly right.
12	Q. Even though civilians do nothing about
13	it?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. There's a whole list of things you can
16	get an NJP for?
17	A. There's a whole list. If you smile at
18	somebody wrong, you get an NJP.
19	Q. Can you get an NJP because somebody above
20	you gives you an order you think is illegal or
21	improper but because you didn't carry it out, they
22	get the power of judgment over you?
23	A. Absolutely.
24	Q. Nothing you can do about it?
25	A. That's right.

1 Q. So I'm going to ask you to, in your 2 opinion, from your experience, to judge John 3 Knospler and inform these people of your experience with him over all the days you've known 4 him and all the time you've known him. What is 5 6 that? 7 Α. Listen, we all make mistakes from time to 8 time. I've made plenty. I think it's about --9 when you judge a person, I think it's about their 10 body of work, not these discrete individual 11 events. When I look at Johnny, I look at the quy 12 who when I was out in the field and couldn't get 13 radio communication, it was cold, it was 4:00 14 a.m., by myself in the rain, he came to my aid, 15 tapped me on the shoulder and said, Hey, I got this, go get warm. Not because John could get 16 17 communication for me because he probably couldn't, 18 it was almost impossible that night, but just 19 because he knew I was in a bad spot and he wanted 20 to help me. 21 Thank you. I'm sorry. Ο. 2.2 Α. Also, this is the same Johnny who broke 23 up a fight --24 MR. ITZEN: Judge, I'm going to 25 object. This is nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's cut it off 1 2 there. Would not be responsive to the last 3 question, so I think it was covered, though. MR. LOW: Thank you. Nothing 4 further. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Anything -- hold 6 7 up if you would, sir. Anything on recross? 8 MR. ITZEN: No, sir. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Now you may step 10 Thank you, Mr. Lehman. You're excused from down. 11 this case. 12 Additional evidence, Mr. Low? 13 MR. LOW: Yes, sir. At this time, 14 we would like to call Investigator Ellis to the 15 stand. 16 THE COURT: You understand you're 17 still under oath from earlier in the case? 18 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank 20 you. You may proceed. 21 SEAN ELLIS, 2.2 called for examination by the Defense, being 23 previously duly sworn, on his oath testified as 24 follows: 25

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LOW:
3	Q. Morning, sir.
4	A. Morning.
5	Q. Thank you. Is it true, sir, that you did
6	a background investigation on Mr. Baldwin to see
7	if, in fact, he had any convictions?
8	A. I did. I did a background investigation.
9	MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, he's
12	asking
13	MR. LOW: Let's approach, then.
14	THE COURT: Please do.
15	(The following proceedings
16	were held at the bench between the Court and
17	counsel, out of the hearing of the jury:)
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.
19	MR. LOW: I'm going to need
20	permission and I confirmed with Mr. Newcomb
21	before I started so I made no mistakes that,
22	number one, Mr. Baldwin had a conviction he has
23	several; but the one that I am allowed to talk
24	about is one for battery and interference with a
25	police officer, and the conviction is on 12

1 February 2009. I was under the impression that it 2 was okay. I was not allowed to say it in opening, 3 I confirmed it with Mr. Newcomb, and he said yes. So I said please hand me the paper because I want 4 5 to make sure I'm specific and I make no mistakes. So my next question for this witness is 6 7 is it true you found a conviction for this, and I 8 was going to read the title. That was what I was 9 going to do. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Blonigen. MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, I 11 12 can't imagine you'd allow. The officer already 13 testified. Not only this, this is inaccurate, as the next witness will testify. We have the 14 15 judgment and sentence, we have the citations 16 issued. He was never charged with battery at all. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. BLONIGEN: Now he has put out 19 there in a very inappropriate way somehow and it's 20 going to look like we're hiding some conviction on Mr. Baldwin. 21 2.2 MR. LOW: I'm just asking what he 23 was convicted for. I haven't even got there yet. 24 I'm only going to say, Listen, what did you find, 25 that's the question. And he can say whatever he

1 wants. 2 MR. BLONIGEN: Well, you know --3 THE COURT: It would relate to the February 12, 2009 incident alone? 4 5 MR. LOW: Exactly. And if you want, we can instruct the witness and tell him the date, 6 7 which I was under the impression he knew of the 8 rulings and he was only going to say that. I'm 9 not going to ask to ask him anything else. I'm 10 mindful, Your Honor. I'm just going to ask him --MR. BLONIGEN: But, Your Honor, for 11 12 him to say battery, we know it's not true. 13 MR. LOW: I didn't say --14 MR. BLONIGEN: But he should 15 specifically ask him about February 12, '09. 16 MR. LOW: I'll ask him February 12, 17 2009. 18 THE COURT: I have a question about 19 whether that would be hearsay or whether there's 20 some exception that would apply. MR. LOW: Well, we've got a business 21 2.2 record exception. And it's a certified document, 23 and therefore, it's authentic. 24 MR. BLONIGEN: Well, it's not 25 certified.

1 MR. LOW: Sure it is. It's also a 2 public record, Your Honor. It's common knowledge. 3 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to preclude the inquiry with the understanding you 4 5 need to address February 12, 2009. MR. LOW: I will put the date in my 6 7 question. Sorry. I'll put in my question a 8 conviction specific to 12 February 2009. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And I'll grant 10 the State leeway to fully examine and clarify. 11 Okay. 12 MR. LOW: No objection. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 (The following proceedings were 15 held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) 16 THE COURT: Counsel, you may 17 proceed. 18 (BY MR. LOW) Thank you. Sir, were you Ο. 19 able to check the background of Mr. Baldwin? 20 Yes. In any investigation, I do Α. background checks on both parties. 21 2.2 Q. And did you learn of a conviction from 12 23 February 2009 for Mr. Baldwin? 24 I did. Α. 25 And what was that conviction for, sir? Q.

1	A. If I recall, it was interference and
2	minor in possession.
3	Q. Interference with what, sir?
4	A. With a police officer.
5	Q. Is that interference with a police
6	officer in the execution of his official duties as
7	a police officer?
8	A. Yes. That's a Wyoming statute.
9	Q. All right, then.
10	MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	Nothing further.
12	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
13	MR. BLONIGEN: Very briefly, Your
14	Honor.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. BLONIGEN:
17	Q. You also got the paperwork associated
18	with that?
19	A. With that charge?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. You had the reports associated with that?
23	A. I did.
24	Q. Mr. Baldwin was never charged with
25	battery on Mr. Elkin, was he?

1	A. No, he wasn't.
2	Q. Never entered a plea to that therefor, I
3	take it?
4	A. No.
5	Q. In the reports, was there any indications
6	he ever struck Mr. Elkin?
7	A. There was not.
8	Q. The interference with a police officer,
9	he ran away, didn't he?
10	A. He did.
11	Q. And also, the officer who wrote those
12	tickets is here today, isn't he?
13	A. He is.
14	MR. BLONIGEN: That's all I have,
15	Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Anything on redirect?
18	MR. LOW: No, sir. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you,
20	Officer. You may return to counsel table.
21	MR. LOW: And if I may, Your Honor,
22	I believe we have Defense Exhibit F, as in
23	Foxtrot, 1 for identification. And they were the
24	pictures taken in connection with the
25	reconstruction. I'd like to move those into

1 evidence, if I may. THE COURT: Let me take a look. 2 3 MR. BLONIGEN: I thought we admitted 4 those yesterday. 5 MR. LOW: In an abundance of caution. 6 7 THE COURT: My records indicate that 8 F1 was received. Ms. Chaney? 9 THE COURT REPORTER: Mine, too. 10 THE COURT: So I'd just confirm that 11 that exhibit has been fully received. 12 And then lastly in the MR. LOW: 13 abundance of caution, if there any exhibits that I 14 have marked for identification other than ones you 15 addressed earlier this morning, Your Honor, I 16 would request that they be received into evidence. 17 THE COURT: I don't have any 18 specific exhibit in mind, though. Do you? 19 That's the problem. MR. LOW: No. 20 THE COURT: I don't either, so. 21 MR. LOW: All right. 2.2 THE COURT: I'd so note that 23 request. And I think without a specific 24 delineation of the exhibit, I can't make a ruling; 25 but I'd so note.

1 MR. LOW: Well yes, I don't, so 2 thank you. I think that's -- let me double-check 3 and make sure I'm not forgetting something. Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you very much. If I hear you right, then, the Defense 6 7 would rest? 8 MR. LOW: Defense would rest, Your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Any proposed rebuttal evidence, Mr. Blonigen? 11 12 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, we have 13 two very short witnesses, about five minutes each. 14 THE COURT: Okay. You may call your 15 first prospective rebuttal witness. 16 MR. BLONIGEN: We'd recall Detective 17 Ellis briefly, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Once again, I'd you confirm you realize you're still under oath? 19 20 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Very good. You may 2.2 proceed. 23 24 25

1	SEAN ELLIS,
2	called for examination by the State, being
3	previously duly sworn, on his oath testified as
4	follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. BLONIGEN:
7	Q. Detective Ellis, I'm just going to ask
8	you a few questions. We asked Mr. Daily about
9	some measurements yesterday, and I want to confirm
10	this through evidence not just through a question.
11	You were the individual in the pictures we saw?
12	A. I was.
13	Q. Did anybody ever measure you?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did anybody ever weigh you?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Mr. Baldwin's autopsy says 230 pounds.
18	What did you weigh at the time?
19	A. At the time, I weighed about
20	approximately 207.
21	Q. How tall are you?
22	A. Six-three.
23	Q. Did you have shoes on?
24	A. I did.
25	Q. Would the distance you stand away from

1	the car in the pictures, was that distance from a
2	mark in the scene?
3	A. It is not. It was a chosen nominal
4	distance for reference for me.
5	Q. Could you tell where Mr. Baldwin was
6	standing at the scene from what you saw?
7	A. We could not tell where Mr. Baldwin was
8	standing due to the assistance from the
9	firefighters and ruining the footprints.
10	Q. Can you tell us what the inseam of your
11	pants were that you were wearing in that picture?
12	A. The jeans I was wearing in that picture
13	were 33, 38.
14	Q. 38 inseam?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What about Mr. Baldwin's pants that were
17	seized at the autopsy?
18	A. Mr. Baldwin's pants, I believe were a 36,
19	32.
20	Q. So 36 waist, 32 inseam?
21	A. I believe so.
22	Q. Did you want to check them?
23	A. I can. They're here.
24	Q. I'm just asking because you said I
25	believe so. Do you have a definite knowledge of

1	that?
2	A. I have looked at them, but it's been a
3	while since I looked at them.
4	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I
5	borrow your scissors?
6	Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Are those the pants?
7	A. They are. These are this is not the
8	original packaging. They have had to be
9	repackaged. And the repackage was by me on the
10	9th of December. The tag in the pants says 36,
11	32.
12	Q. So what you said, 36, 32 inseam. Thank
13	you for checking that.
14	When you have your knees bent in the
15	pictures or you're standing up straight, do you
16	have did was anybody able to tell if Mr.
17	Baldwin's knees were bent or not?
18	A. No.
19	Q. How far how far exactly he leaned?
20	A. No.
21	Q. How much weight he had forward on his
22	hands?
23	A. No.
24	Q. There was some talk about a pile of glass
25	and a body that was mapped; is that correct?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did you ever or strike that.
3	MR. BLONIGEN: I believe that's all
4	the questions I have, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
6	Cross-examination. Any questions,
7	Mr. Low?
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. LOW:
10	Q. Investigator Ellis, you ever see those
11	young men who walk around and they wear their
12	jeans and they wear them below their butt?
13	A. I have.
14	Q. And they got their underwear hanging out?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And they wear them really low on their
17	legs?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Were you you weren't with Mr. Baldwin
20	prior to October the 3rd, 2013; right?
21	A. Can you restate that for me?
22	Q. Yeah. You didn't spend time with Mr.
23	Baldwin before he attacked Mr. Knospler October
24	the 3rd, 2013, were you?
25	A. No.

1	
1	Q. So you don't know how he wore his jeans,
2	do you?
3	A. I do not.
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Redirect.
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BLONIGEN:
8	Q. You viewed the video from the bar?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Would it be fair to say that Mr.
11	Baldwin's T-shirt is pretty small on him? In
12	other words, it doesn't go very far down past his
13	belt, does it?
14	A. It's not a long T-shirt.
15	Q. Okay. That's a better way to put it.
16	Does he does he appear to be wearing heavily
17	sagging pants in the video?
18	A. I do notice that he has a belt on, and
19	they're not sagging to the point where I can see
20	his buttocks or anything.
21	Q. All right. And you don't see his pants
22	pulled up around his feet, do you?
23	A. Around his feet?
24	Q. Yeah. When we we see his video, he's
25	walking, you don't see the pants clear down around

1 covering his shoes and stuff, do we? 2 MR. LOW: Objection. This is all 3 leading. If he wants to testify as to what he saw, let's just listen to the detective. 4 5 THE COURT: I think I'll agree. Ιt would be a leading question, so I'll sustain. 6 7 Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Okay. Let me 8 rephrase. Describe what you saw in the video 9 about how he was wearing his pants. 10 It appeared to me Mr. Baldwin was wearing Α. 11 his pants as normal. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. BLONIGEN: No other questions, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 Anything on recross? 17 MR. LOW: No, Your Honor. Thank 18 you. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Once again, 20 Officer Ellis, you're excused to return to counsel 21 table. The State may call an additional rebuttal 2.2 witness. 23 MR. ITZEN: State would call Brad 24 Halter. 25 THE COURT: Please come forward, if

REDIRECT - SEAN ELLIS - BLONIGEN

1 you would, sir. The Clerk of Court to my left 2 will give you the oath, and then you'll sit over 3 to the other side here. 4 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear 5 that the testimony you will give in the case before the Court will be the truth, the whole 6 7 truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 9 THE COURT: Please be seated. 10 BRAD HALTER, 11 called for examination by the State, being first 12 duly sworn, on his oath testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. ITZEN: 15 Ο. Good morning, sir. 16 Α. Morning. 17 Could you please state your full name. Ο. 18 It's Officer Brad Halter with the Green Α. 19 River Police Department. 20 And how long have you been with the Green Ο. River Police Department, sir? 21 2.2 Α. Just a little over eight years. 23 Q. Were you so employed on February the 12th 24 of 2009? 25 Α. Yes, I was.

1	Q. Now, were you called to a fight in
2	progress?
3	A. Yes, I was.
4	Q. Upon arrival, can you describe what the
5	scene looked like for the ladies and gentlemen of
6	the jury.
7	A. It's a residence located at 35 East Third
8	South in Green River. There was several occupants
9	or subjects standing outside the residence when I
10	arrived.
11	Q. All right. Did you come into contact
12	with a Michael May?
13	A. Yes, I did.
14	Q. And were there any injuries upon Mr. May?
15	A. If I recall correctly, Mr. May had a
16	bloody nose.
17	Q. All right. Did you find out who or what
18	caused Mr. May to have a bloody nose?
19	A. Yes, I did. I Mr. May stated to me
20	that he had been punched in the face by Timothy
21	Dow, and Timothy Dow also confirmed that he had
22	assaulted Mr. May.
23	Q. All right. Did you speak with a Kevin
24	Elkin that evening?
25	A. No, I did not.

1	Q. Was he on scene?
2	A. No, he was not.
3	Q. And, in fact, did you ever have contact
4	with Mr. Elkin that evening concerning this or the
5	next day?
6	A. No, I did not.
7	Q. Now, you also had contact with a James
8	Kade Baldwin?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. And you ended up citing and arresting Mr.
11	Baldwin that evening; correct?
12	A. Yes, I did.
13	Q. What were the two charges you arrested
14	him for?
15	A. Interference with a police officer, and I
16	believe the second was underage consumption.
17	Q. All right. Of alcohol?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Now, the interference with a police
20	officer, did he leave the scene after being asked
21	not to?
22	A. Yes. When I arrived, I initially
23	contacted several subjects who I asked to stay
24	there, and Mr. Baldwin immediately fled from the
25	scene. And I gave chase and eventually caught him

Γ

1 and he was arrested. 2 All right. Did Mr. Elkin ever say he was Ο. 3 punched by Mr. Baldwin that evening? No, he did not. 4 Α. 5 MR. ITZEN: I believe that's all the questions I have. Mr. Low will have a couple. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 Cross-examination. MR. LOW: I do, Your Honor, have a 9 10 very specific question about what I just heard; 11 but I wanted to approach with you and show you so 12 that we're all good. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I'll grant 14 permission. Please approach. 15 (The following proceedings were 16 held at the bench between the Court and counsel, 17 out of the hearing of the jury:) 18 THE COURT: Can you hear us? Gо 19 ahead, Counsel. 20 MR. LOW: So taken from the police report that we just heard about is the following: 21 2.2 Mr. Baldwin began screaming inside the patrol 23 vehicle and pounding his head against the window. 24 Mr. Baldwin was arrested for, among other things, 25 battery and interference with a police officer.

Mr. Baldwin knew that one of his male victims had 1 2 cerebral palsy. 3 I'd like to cross-examine him on that, and I just want to bring it to your attention 4 beforehand. 5 MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, I 6 7 don't think cerebral palsy is relevant. Secondly, 8 the officer can identify when he's showing you the ticket he wrote he was never charged with battery. 9 10 MR. LOW: This is what he was 11 arrested for. I was very specific. And he just 12 got done asking him about it and his contact with 13 him. I didn't -- I wasn't going to, but since 14 they brought it up, I'm just going through the 15 same facts they did what about happened that 16 evening and what they said when they were 17 arrested. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Blonigen. 19 MR. BLONIGEN: This is not 20 Mr. Halter's report. 21 MR. NEWCOMB: This is taken from it. 2.2 MR. LOW: It's taken from it. 23 MR. BLONIGEN: Okay. Well, get the 24 report and show it to him. 25 MR. LOW: I don't need to show it to

DIRECT - BRAD HALTER - ITZEN

1 him. I can ask him about it. 2 THE COURT: Yeah, I think inquiry 3 about the report is proper since he referenced it in his direct exam, so. 4 5 MR. BLONIGEN: Well, no, Your Honor. MR. LOW: Well --6 7 THE COURT: Hold up, Counsel. 8 MR. LOW: Yes, sir. 9 MR. BLONIGEN: Where is the evidence 10 this is a correct summation of the report? 11 MR. NEWCOMB: The State provided the 12 report, and that's exactly what the State 13 provided. That is. 14 MR. BLONIGEN: The officer has his 15 report. Ask him if it's in his report. MR. LOW: I can ask him what I 16 17 want --18 THE COURT: And we can clarify. 19 I'll allow inquiry. Thank you. 20 (The following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) 21 2.2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. LOW: Q. Sir, did you say you arrested Mr. 24 25 Baldwin?

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. And when Mr. Baldwin was inside of your
3	car, did he begin screaming inside the patrol
4	vehicle and pounding his head against the window?
5	A. Yes, he did.
6	Q. And what did you arrest Mr. Baldwin for
7	at that time?
8	A. Interference and underage consumption.
9	Q. Anything else at that time that you
10	arrested him for?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Did you ever arrest him for battery?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. And is it true that Mr. Baldwin
15	told you that he knew that one of his male victims
16	had cerebral palsy?
17	A. No. Mr. Baldwin did not ever tell me
18	that.
19	MR. LOW: All right. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
21	Anything on redirect?
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. ITZEN:
24	Q. Officer, did you check on Mr. Baldwin
25	after he hit his head in the patrol cage?

Α. Yes, I did. 1 2 And were you able to determine what was Ο. 3 wrong? He had complained that the handcuffs were 4 Α. 5 on too tight. I adjusted them, and he was seated back in the vehicle with no further incident. 6 7 MR. ITZEN: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Anything on recross? 9 MR. LOW: No thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very 11 much, Officer. You're excused from any subpoena 12 in the case and free to go. 13 Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: 14 MR. BLONIGEN: That's all the 15 rebuttal we have, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: I presume the evidence 17 is submitted, and we can have the jury instruction 18 conference and try to start final arguments. How 19 about 12:30? Would that be all right? 20 MR. BLONIGEN: That's fine, Judge. 21 MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of 23 the jury, we have a jury instruction conference to 24 do yet, and I wanted to accommodate lunch in there 25 somehow. If we were a little bit earlier in the

1 morning, I'd try to maybe have final arguments 2 start at 11:00 or so, but I think the best we 3 could do is excuse you and ask you to come back at 12:30 for final arguments. After that, the case 4 5 will be submitted to the jury. And as I mentioned, the jury does need to stay together and 6 7 participate in deliberations after that point in 8 time. 9 So keep in mind the admonitions. You

have now heard all the evidence, but we still ask you to keep the same rules of keeping your mind open and to not express any opinion on the case until it is finally submitted to you. So keep those matters in mind.

Why don't I ask counsel to meet with me for the jury instruction conference in 15 minutes. We should have a final packet of instructions -of proposed instructions ready in about five minutes, so we'll hook you up with your copy of those proposed instructions.

21 With that, the jury is excused, and we'll 22 stand in recess until 12:30 p.m. 23 (At 9:54 a.m., a recess was 24 taken until 10:46 a.m.)

25

(The following proceedings

1 were held in chambers:) 2 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go on the 3 Convening with counsel in State versus record. Knospler in Criminal Action 19548-B. 4 5 I note that we had an informal jury instruction conference yesterday evening. We went 6 7 through all of the instructions proposed by both 8 the State of Wyoming and the Defense. 9 The Court would confirm that it will file 10 with the Clerk of Court copies of all of the 11 proposed jury instructions so we'll have that part of the record. 12 13 After considering the positions of the 14 parties, the Court has come up with a packet of 34 15 proposed jury instructions and a verdict form. 16 And I'm going to note for the record I think the 17 three or four areas that, as I recall, may need 18 some explanation or some decision by the Court. The first was the definition of malice or 19 20 maliciously. And given the decision in Wilkerson versus State, we can no longer give the pattern 21 2.2 instruction. And I would decline to give the 23 State's Proposed Instruction Number 5 and have 24 decided to give the Defendant's Instruction Number 25 12, which includes the definition of malice

1913

COLLOQUY

directly from the Wilkerson case.

2 Second, the State has proposed an 3 instruction or two that would delineate that self-defense could only be asserted against murder 4 5 in the second degree and voluntary manslaughter. And after some consideration as to how to approach 6 7 a severing of involuntary manslaughter from the 8 self-defense claim being asserted, I have come to 9 the decision that we should simply give Pattern 10 Instruction 8.14, which I note was not proposed by either party, the primary self-defense burden of 11 12 proof instruction. The Defense proposed one that 13 was set forth in the use note, but I think it was 14 Mr. Low maybe espoused the general principle 15 that's incorporated in that instruction, before 16 the defendant may be convicted of any crime, the 17 State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that 18 the defendant did not act in self-defense.

I note that the use note to that pattern instruction includes at any time, relates to any crime relates to the crime of homicide. And I note that in reading *Duran versus State*, which the State relied upon, there sure is some authority for the position being asserted by the State; but I don't think that decision absolutely precludes 1 self-defense in this case as a matter of law.
2 There's language that's included, there's
3 reference to a majority decision, but the bottom
4 line in the *Duran* case was that the trial court
5 did not err in refusing to submit an instruction
6 on self-defense to the jury under the
7 circumstances in that case.

8 So absent a very clear delineation that 9 self-defense could not be a potential defense in 10 situations such as the one presented in this case, 11 I think that allowing it to apply even to 12 involuntary manslaughter should stand. So that's 13 my analysis on that.

The third thing was the Defense's three 14 instructions numbered 16, 17, and 18 relative to 15 16 Wyoming Statute 6-2-602 and self-defense applying 17 to a home or habitation. And I previously noted 18 that Wyoming Statute 6-2-602(d)(i) does not apply 19 to vehicles. And I agreed with the State and its 20 analysis that applying that statute and these 21 proposed instructions just does not fit the evidence in this case. 2.2

The next matter I had that I just want to run by counsel for the Defense especially is they had proposed an instruction on when a defendant

1 does testify, you have no right to disregard his 2 testimony. And then there's a further discussion, 3 but I have customarily used this instruction, it's numbered 9 in the packet, that the criminal 4 5 defendant has a constitutional right not to testify, you must not draw any inference from that 6 7 fact. And I think that that would fit our case 8 where Mr. Knospler has not testified, and I think 9 it's sort of a protective instruction that I would 10 give rather than the one that refers to a situation where the defendant does testify. 11

12 And finally, I absolutely struggled with 13 Drennen versus State, paragraphs 32 and 39, and how to instruct. And I came up with instructions 14 15 number 27, 28, and 29, which basically adopted the 16 format proposed by the State. I adopted the 17 language of the definition of aggressor directly 18 from the language of the Drennen decision, and I 19 structured it the way proposed by the State. Ι 20 still have some real concern about using that approach, but I think it's better than anything I 21 2.2 could come up with; and so I decided to give those 23 three instructions relative to the Drennen versus 24 State requirements.

So with those matters, I'll hear from the

25

1 parties as to any objections for the record and 2 any proposed declined instruction you'd like for 3 me to mark. 4 Mr. Blonigen. 5 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, the only one we'd like you to mark is to mark the 6 7 instruction that says that self-defense is not a 8 defense to involuntary manslaughter. I don't 9 think we can do anything with it, but just in case 10 we do. 11 THE COURT: Okav. I will do so. And I'll provide --12 13 MR. BLONIGEN: It would be a bill of 14 exceptions in any event. It wouldn't be in this 15 case. 16 THE COURT: And I'll make sure each 17 of you get a copy of the declined instruction. 18 The Defense, Mr. Newcomb. MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, Your Honor. 19 20 These are revised. The only -- the only -- two substantive changes. One is we removed the malice 21 2.2 in the Court's instruction regarding other acts --23 excuse me -- not malice, other bad acts, so no 24 objection to that. 25 The only one that we added, we made

1 reference to it, is our proposed number 5, which 2 is the permissible inference. And the authority 3 that we argued, it's on page 14. And, obviously there's no -- and 15 -- there's no direct jury 4 5 instruction; but as a matter of law, Rule 3.8(d) imposes on the prosecutor and more generally the 6 7 State, the representative, a duty to produce 8 all -- all information, not evidence, but all 9 information that tends to negate the guilt of the 10 defendant.

11 And 3.8 creates a criminal procedural 12 substantive right under Hicks v. Oklahoma -- Hicks 13 is 447 U.S. 343 at 346 -- and by Kyles v. Whitley, 14 which is 514 U.S. 419, 437, 38. And Kyles goes to 15 the State is held liable or responsible for any 16 nondisclosures of material exculpatory evidence 17 from any police officer. Combined with Hicks, 18 which is the right of the defendant to be informed 19 of any information that negates the guilt of the 20 defendant, the Fourteenth Amendment due process and simply the right to -- the right to an 21 2.2 opportunity to present a complete defense as 23 established in Stalcup v. State, should allow the 24 giving of the Instruction 5. 25 THE COURT: I note that that was

1	added
2	MR. NEWCOMB: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: to the list and we'll
4	file that in. I'll decline to give that
5	instruction.
6	MR. NEWCOMB: Two other matters,
7	Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Let's go back to
9	the other acts evidence. You're not requesting an
10	additional instruction?
11	MR. NEWCOMB: The instruction that
12	the Court gave
13	THE COURT: 5 and 5A are okay?
14	MR. NEWCOMB: Let me double-check.
15	I believe that's yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
17	You said there was additional matters?
18	MR. NEWCOMB: Yeah. Instruction
19	Number 8. It's just a typo. It's here, Your
20	Honor, highlighted in yellow.
21	THE COURT: Thank you for catching
22	that.
23	MR. NEWCOMB: So we've objected to
24	14 just to clarify, 14, 27, and to the absence
25	of the habitation instruction.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Katie, would you 2 give this to Kelly? Need to correct Instruction 3 Number 8. And I'll mark Number 5 declined --4 MR. NEWCOMB: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: -- for the record. So how about time for final arguments, I 6 7 always say this, and I know it's true, but it's 8 hard for all of us in the system to fully 9 appreciate it. But the number one complaint I 10 get -- have gotten from the juries over the past 11 19 years is trying to do something about the 12 attorneys going on and on and repeating 13 themselves. And we've got to balance that with 14 making sure everybody has a chance to fully 15 present. I was thinking 45 minutes a side, but your thoughts, Mr. Blonigen? 16 17 MR. BLONIGEN: I was thinking an 18 hour but I can live with 45 minutes, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Low? 20 MR. LOW: I can say the same thing, 21 whatever you need, Your Honor, I was thinking 60; 2.2 but if you want us at 45, I'll get it done. I 23 agree with what you said about jurors' complaints. 24 THE COURT: Let's go with 50. 25 MR. BLONIGEN: Okay.

1920

COLLOQUY

1 THE COURT: And --2 MR. BLONIGEN: And any rebuttal. 3 THE COURT: -- if you want to 4 reserve. 5 MR. BLONIGEN: Right. THE COURT: Do you want a ten-minute 6 7 warning or anything? 8 MR. BLONIGEN: Yeah, a ten-minute 9 warning would be good, Judge. I'll try to keep 10 track of it myself. You have a clock here that's 11 pretty easy to see, so it's not as hard as that 12 old courtroom. Your back isn't to it. 13 THE COURT: And final thing, I 14 appreciate counsel very much, a very difficult 15 case, very hard fought obviously. But I 16 appreciate dealing with some real professionalism 17 along the way. Nobody ever, I think, lost their 18 cool or disrespected the Court or some of the 19 other things that I've run into way too often, so 20 I appreciate that. 21 So we'll start up, then, at 12:30 with 2.2 the reading of instructions and final argument. 23 MR. NEWCOMB: Your Honor, your jury 24 instructions required a disk. We gave you one. 25 THE COURT: I think we're good now,

COLLOQUY

1 but I'll supplement. 2 MR. NEWCOMB: Just want to make sure 3 we comply. 4 (At 11:01 a.m., a recess was taken 5 until 12:34 p.m.) 6 (The following proceedings were 7 held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) 8 THE COURT: I believe we have 9 everyone. Court will come back to order. The 10 Seventh Judicial District Court convenes for 11 further trial proceedings in State of Wyoming 12 versus John Henry Knospler, Jr., Criminal Action 13 19548-B. 14 When we adjourned, I told the ladies and gentlemen of the jury we would now have final 15 16 arguments, which is correct; but before the final 17 arguments, I'm required to make sure the final 18 jury instructions are read in open court and that 19 copies are provided to each and every juror. 20 So you have each received a copy of the 21 instructions, and Instructions 1 through 5A were 2.2 already read in open court, so I won't reread 23 those unless there's some request from the 24 parties. But I would start with Instruction 25 Number 6. And bear with me, this will take a

1922

COLLOQUY

while to read all of these; but you can sure 1 2 follow along with the written copies that you 3 have. (Jury Instruction Number 6 through 4 Jury Instruction Number 8 were read in open 5 court.) 6 7 Instruction Number 9. THE COURT: 8 You are instructed that a defendant in a criminal 9 trial has a constitutional right not to testify. 10 You must not draw any inference from the fact that 11 the defendant has chosen not to testify in this 12 Further, you must either -- that should case. 13 read "neither" discuss this matter nor permit it 14 to enter into your deliberations in any way. Ι 15 will make a correction to that typographical 16 error. The jury may do so on its copies if you 17 wish to. 18 (Jury Instruction Number 10 19 through Jury Instruction Number 34 were read in 20 open court.) 21 THE COURT: And then with each 2.2 packet of instructions is a copy of the verdict 23 form. The original verdict form will be given to 24 you, and that will be the one that would need to 25 be completed on behalf of the jury. But the

verdict form has the case caption, and it reads: We the jury, duly empaneled and sworn to try the above entitled cause, do find as follows. Number one, as to the offense of murder in the second degree as charged in the Information, we find the defendant, John Henry Knospler, Jr., and a blank for "not guilty" or a blank for "guilty."

8 If you find the defendant not guilty in 9 number one, then proceed to number two. If you 10 find the defendant guilty in number one, do not 11 answer number two or number three.

12 Number two, as to the lesser-included 13 offense of voluntary manslaughter, we find the 14 defendant, John Henry Knospler, Jr., and once 15 again a blank for "not guilty" or a blank line for 16 "guilty."

17 If you find the defendant not guilty in 18 number two, then proceed to number three. If you 19 find the defendant guilty in number two, do not 20 answer number three.

And number three, as to the lesser-included offense of involuntary manslaughter, we find the defendant, John Henry Knospler, Jr., and a blank line next to "not guilty" or a blank line next to "guilty."

1 Dated this blank day of December, 2014, 2 and a signature line for the presiding juror. 3 Thank you for bearing with me in that I know it's fairly long, and your 4 process. 5 attention to the instructions is greatly 6 appreciated. 7 With that, we will turn to final 8 arguments on behalf of the parties. I note I've 9 set a time limit of 50 minutes per side. And the 10 process is that the State of Wyoming goes first 11 followed by the Defense, and then if the State has 12 reserved a portion of its time, it would have that 13 time for what we call rebuttal argument. 14 I note because of sort of concerns that I 15 have along the way that there may be some factual 16 matters that are contested, and we put on the 17 attorneys a very high good faith requirement to 18 only recite in their arguments and their 19 presentations of facts as they were presented. 20 But I also recognize that in some cases, there may 21 have been evidence stated in varying ways and 2.2 there may be some contest, and those factual 23 issues are for the jury to decide; and so that 24 will be up to you. 25 But with those comments, the State of

1 Wyoming may proceed first. Mr. Blonigen. 2 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, may I 3 have this workstation activated? THE COURT: Sure. 4 5 MR. BLONIGEN: And when I'm completed with it, if I could go back to the 6 7 overhead projector, please. 8 May it please the Court, Counsel. 9 THE COURT: Counsel. 10 MR. BLONIGEN: The man that Kade 11 Baldwin met that night was not the man that you 12 heard about for the last few days. He was not the 13 man who the people at Racks met that night and 14 talked about on the stand and in their statements 15 to the police. He was not the man that Deputy 16 Johnny Taylor contacted. The man they knew that 17 night was this man. 18 (State's Exhibit 207 is played in open court.) 19 20 MR. BLONIGEN: Ladies and gentlemen, do you hear a frightened man in that video ten 21 2.2 minutes after he gunned down Kade Baldwin in the There's 23 parking lot? Where is the fear? 24 calmness. There's even smugness. There is no 25 fear.

1 And you can't remove either Kade Baldwin 2 or John Knospler from the nights that were taking 3 place that evening. Between their night, Kade Baldwin's celebrating his birthday, by all 4 5 accounts getting along just fine with everybody. And the defendant, who acts strangely, talked 6 7 about shooting and killing people, and solicited 8 at least two of the employees for cocaine. We 9 don't go into what happened that night blind. We 10 have to put it in a context. 11 Now, we heard from a number of people at 12 the bar that night. They gave statements to the 13 police that morning. And what did they tell them? 14 You know, and I can't say they were a hundred 15 percent one statement to the next, but who would 16 be? Who's a human tape recorder? Who's a human 17 videotape? 18 Sonny Pilcher tells you he arrives at 19 5:30, and what is the defendant doing? He's 20 dancing in the rain. He's moving his car from one spot to another. He's trying to walk that rail in 21 2.2 the front of the building, to the point where Mr. 23 Pilcher says, you know, we better keep an eye on 24 this. How he goes from the east end of the 25 building to west end of the building. We know by

1 the end of the night he's not in either one of 2 those places, he's right outside the front door. 3 Ervin Andujar. And it's interesting because yes, if I ask you, Did you see the car 4 5 wreck, you would say, I watched the whole thing. You wouldn't remember that I kind of looked out of 6 7 the corner of my eye when that other car was 8 coming down the street. You'd say, I saw the 9 whole thing. And that's what Mr. Andujar did. 10 But let's look at the other evidence of 11 Mr. Andujar. What is corroborated? He says the 12 defendant was talking about this stuff, about 13 killing people and things. Other witnesses 14 corroborate that. He says he kicked the defendant 15 out about an hour and a half before the shooting 16 happened because he had a joint, a joint that's 17 not found. He manages to dispose of that before 18 the police stop him. But come on, ladies and 19 gentlemen, is he going to pick the guy that just 20 happens to have a container with marijuana residue and rolling papers in the car to say he had the 21 2.2 joint? Mr. Andujar is absolutely corroborated on 23 that. 24 He says Mr. Baldwin was so drunk he had 25 to wake him up and steer him out the door.

1 Everybody confirms that. He says Mr. Baldwin left 2 and went around to the right, which we can see on 3 the video, and went to the passenger side door. And we know from talking to Officer Preciado and 4 5 even Mr. Daily yesterday that they saw footprints from the passenger side door around the front. 6 We 7 know that he said that he saw Mr. Baldwin with his 8 hands on the windowsill leaning in.

9 Now, we were told in opening statement by 10 the Defense that Mr. Andujar never said that 11 before, but what did Mr. Daily say yesterday? 12 Yeah, we knew about that statement. That's why we 13 took some of the pictures we took down there in 14 that shed is to show something similar to that. 15 All those are corroborated.

We have others. Westy Guill talks about seeing him go out and tap on the window on the passenger side again. And he thought he did it on the driver's side, but then he said, I'm not sure. Yeah, I know I said that before, but I'm not sure.

Amber Hudson talked about what she saw, that he was over on the driver's side of the vehicle. Now, how in the world did they see all these things and talk about them clear back then if they couldn't see them? They talked about 1

25

those things that night.

2 You will notice that Mr. Daily, when he 3 said he considered all the evidence to try to make this work, never considered a single eyewitness. 4 5 And what do they tell you is happening that night? No conflict between these two people at all. 6 7 That's absolutely agreed on in the evidence. 8 These two people didn't have a problem with each 9 other. The one time they're together you can see 10 on the video. And remember the video has that 11 time difference, so you have to account for that. 12 But holding the door for each other. Does that 13 sound like two people who have been having 14 problems that night? Not at all. 15 What do multiple witnesses say Mr. Baldwin said when he left? Hey, my friend's here. 16 17 And we showed you the pictures of the car, and you 18 can see how this tragic mistake took place. But 19 ladies and gentlemen, there is absolutely no 20 question in the evidence that when Mr. Baldwin left that night, he had no intentions of 21 2.2 contacting the defendant. He was going home. 23 We heard a story in opening statement, 24 and that's what it is, a story. The judge has

told you in Instruction Number 6 that what the

1 attorneys say in their arguments is not evidence. 2 You have to rely on that. But we were told about 3 this great confrontation that takes place out there in that parking lot, yelling and screaming, 4 5 Get out of the car, I'm taking the car, some profanity I think was laced in there, how the 6 7 defendant was asleep in his car. There's no 8 evidence to support that.

9 And while it's true the State has the 10 burden of proving there is no self-defense, how do you prove a negative? You prove a negative by 11 12 showing there's no evidence the alternative ever 13 There is no evidence of any argument happened. 14 between these two. Moreover, you have Ms. Cormier 15 who's out in the parking lot. And you can look at 16 the video and see she had to be out in that 17 parking lot when these things happened.

18 And what's important is what Ms. Cormier 19 doesn't hear. She doesn't hear any yelling. Ιf 20 this argument is taking place between a rolled up window, you would think that Mr. Baldwin would be 21 2.2 yelling. You could understand why the people in 23 the bar don't hear the shot because everybody says 24 the music is very, very loud. But Ms. Cormier is 25 out in the parking lot. And if that gun is in a

closed car, is that going to affect how much the sound carries? Use your common sense.

1

2

3 When we go out there and we look, what else do we know? Well, we know a couple things. 4 5 The defendant gets kicked out. He waits an hour and a half in that parking lot. The only evidence 6 7 we have of him doing anything is that Mr. Norcross 8 said it looked like the occupant of the vehicle 9 had gone back and relieved himself in the back of 10 the car. There's no indication he was sleeping 11 and hunkered in. And why would he be, ladies and 12 gentlemen? He had 300 bucks in his pockets and a 13 couple of credit cards. He can call a cab. Не 14 can get a hotel.

15 Why is he hanging out in the parking lot 16 of a bar where he has been kicked out, where he 17 has been soliciting the purchase of cocaine, where 18 he has been looking for marijuana to smoke, if 19 it's simply to sleep? If you want to go get a 20 hotel, get a hotel. You need a cab, get a cab. If you want to go to another bar, go to another 21 2.2 bar. All those make sense. What doesn't make 23 sense is somebody who's just been kicked out of a 24 bar waits around for an hour and a half. 25 And poor James Baldwin, out there to

CLOSING ARGUMENT - BLONIGEN

celebrate his birthday, drunk as can be, goes to the wrong car. He tries to get in that car. Нe lifts the handle. You heard the people talk about how he tries it and it's locked. Remember, these 4 are manual locks, they're not electric locks, and nothing happens. And he goes around to the other 7 side of the vehicle.

1

2

3

5

6

8 Now, this is where the Defense would like 9 you to believe that he punches out the window; but 10 none of the witnesses saw anything closely 11 resembling that. And you have to really ask 12 yourself, it's not impossible to punch out a 13 window, but we know from the evidence itself it's 14 extremely difficult. It's going to take a lot of 15 force. And you got a man with a .20 blood alcohol 16 content, on snow, in a situation he wasn't 17 expecting, somehow is going to load up that like 18 that fellow you saw on the film clip yesterday and 19 get this done.

20 Two big problems with that. One, they don't see it. And ladies and gentlemen of the 21 2.2 jury, why wouldn't they? They saw him bending 23 over the car. They saw him walk from the front 24 around the front end. Why would they not see it? 25 Two, where are the injuries? Now, Dr. Melinek

stated, Well, they're consistent with. She never really explained why nor did she explain why they weren't more severe than they were. Because you looked at them, you know there's a problem with that idea. You saw that guy load up yesterday and make that punch. What in the world is that going to do to your hand?

8 Mr. Daily said the same thing, but 9 remember Mr. Daily also told them, I'm not a 10 pathologist, I don't claim to be an expert in this 11 area. He said the same thing about Mr. Norris, 12 but yet then he goes on to opine about pathology 13 things and gun things. We know that's really 14 interesting.

But did you notice something? Dr. Carver told you there's a problem with this. I would expect more injuries up the arm. And if you're doing what Mr. Daily suggested, which is he had started to pull back out, there should be more yet. I'm not seeing them.

Not only that, Mr. Daily has Mr. Ellis take these pictures. And the pictures are fine, folks. The problem isn't that they did this. The problem is when you try to come in and sell this as being precise when it's not precise. Mr. Ellis, you saw him bending in that car. There was hardly any room for him. Kade Baldwin is a lot bigger guy as you saw in the pictures, yet there's no injuries here, there's no injuries on the left arm. None at all.

But what did Dr. Carver say? It is 6 7 consistent with him making contact with the glass 8 afterward. Mr. Daily and Mr. Norris and everybody 9 agrees that once that glass is shattered, it has 10 no strength. It's going to go wherever. And Mr. Norris told you when they did the test firing, the 11 12 poorest indicator of direction of force was where 13 the glass was.

14 Now, in fact, if you take Mr. Daily's 15 theory that somehow where the glass is is related to him punching out this window, let's look again 16 17 at some of the things he saw. Did you notice the 18 window when the big fellow punched it out in the 19 film vesterday, it didn't go poof? It came out in 20 fairly big pieces. And you can see it in these photographs, there's fairly big pieces. In fact, 21 2.2 if he -- if James Baldwin is punching out the 23 right side of that window, why does a bunch of 24 glass end up on the dash? It doesn't make a lick 25 of sense.

1 But Dr. Carver said that could be 2 consistent with falling. And we don't know how 3 far he was leaning on that windowsill, we don't know how much his knees were bent, we don't know 4 5 any of those things. THE COURT: You wish the document 6 7 camera? 8 MR. BLONIGEN: Yes, please. 9 Now, Dr. Carver told you about that, and 10 Mr. Norris told you about it. What else did they 11 The one critical finding that Mr. Daily find? 12 wanted to ignore from Dr. Carver is right in his 13 report made in November of last year: I found 14 microscopic fragments of glass upon microscopic examination in the skin slides around this area. 15 16 Then they -- well, that can't be it. They come up 17 with something about, Oh, must have rubbed. You 18 know, we rub glass in and we rub gunshot residue 19 And frankly, there's no evidence of either off? 20 They didn't cite any particular study or one. incident where that was ever known to happen. 21 Ιn 2.2 fact, Mr. Daily says he thought from that that it 23 was just glass. He never thought it was 24 microscopic. 25 But Dr. Carver, folks, did the autopsy;

and he told you what happened. He told you the 1 2 bullet entered and hit the rib. He did the 3 dissection. The other interesting thing about this hand is not only are the injuries not 4 5 consistent, but there were x-rays of the right And isn't that interesting because if Dr. 6 hand. 7 Melinek and Mr. Daily really thought this guy 8 loaded up like that fellow yesterday without the 9 glove and everything on and went through that 10 window, don't you suppose they would have bothered to look at the x-rays and see if there was a 11 fractured hand? Wouldn't it be the most natural 12 13 thing in the world, Well, if that guy loaded up 14 like that, let's look at his hand. Let's look at 15 the x-ray. The only one that did was Dr. Carver, 16 and he said there were no fractures. 17 The expert can say something, but unless 18 they can say why they believe something, how do 19 you ever go back to the jury room and say, Oh, 20 that quy has to be absolutely right because he has

21 a lot of degrees?

DNA. Every single version of this event, whether it was Dr. Melinek or Mr. Daily's, has the defendant sitting firmly in the driver's seat. Mr. Daily never wavered from that yesterday, did

1 No, I put him in the driver's seat, that's he? 2 where I think he is. Well, and how far can you 3 reach in there? Well, we heard about arms being about 40 inches long. Clear into the passenger 4 5 Where is he reaching? Right side of the side. It's the only one that works to try to 6 window. 7 get those injuries up there that Dr. Carver told 8 you don't fit as going through this way. Think about that. You saw the blood on 9 10 You saw the blood on his arm. You his hand. 11 heard the thorough examination done. There's no 12 blood smears or transfers on the inside of this 13 car or on the defendant or on any of his clothing. 14 Now, how do you stick that arm through that 15 driver's side without accomplishing that? So Mr. 16 Daily didn't consider that either, the complete 17 absence of any blood transfer evidence. 18 They tested, what blood they did find was 19 Mr. Knospler's. The few they left for retesting, 20 because they're supposed to do that, were microscopically small things. We don't get 21 2.2 microscopically small things here, folks. We're 23 talking about smears. In fact, if you look at 24 Laboratory Item 9 that they did find Mr.

25 Knospler's blood on, it's so small you can hardly

CLOSING ARGUMENT - BLONIGEN

1 see it in the photograph. Bloody hand and arm 2 coming through that window, where's the blood? 3 Mr. Daily never explained that either. Now, Dr. Melinek thought there was blood, 4 5 but we heard that they tested the material along the window there, and it had no DNA in it. 6 There 7 was no indication of any DNA at all which would 8 have been present in blood. No indications of 9 blood either. Not only that, that's clear on the 10 left side of the window. This is on the right side of the window. 11 12 How do we explain? Because neither Dr. 13 Melinek or Mr. Daily tried to explain. How do we 14 get a punch loaded up like you saw on that screen 15 yesterday with no injuries here, no injuries here, 16 just a minor abrasion there that Dr. Carver called 17 superficial? These he called very superficial. 18 He had a little nick here, he has a little nick 19 here, and he has scratches here that Dr. Carver 20 said didn't seem to be consistent with extending his arm through the window. How did he not get 21 2.2 anything on the shoulder? 23 You'll see in the photographs that you go 24 through here, that the defendant's car had all 25 sorts of glass in it, including -- this is taken,

1 you'll see in the photographs, by Mr. Baker. Look 2 at that glass to the middle left of the top 3 window. How in the world, if he gets in there as far as they want to say, do we not have some 4 5 abrasion or something on Mr. Baldwin's left shoulder? Are we now going to say he's standing 6 7 sideways and reaching through the window? Where 8 are the scrapes along the stomach because we know 9 they're not there. And remember, again, the 10 eyewitnesses who saw nothing like that. The 11 injuries don't match up.

12 And, in fact, it's also interesting that 13 when they stopped that car, it's large pieces of glass. And remember what the officer said, Well, 14 15 no, I didn't see any glass fall off it. Well, we 16 all know there was glass on top of Mr. Knospler. 17 We found it when we inspected the pants. But how 18 come it's sitting where it is right now and how 19 come that joint is gone? It would appear that 20 that glass was moved somehow before he was 21 stopped.

In addition, we know that if he had glass on him and he went like this, where would the glass go? Again, a natural human response, it would go all over the car. We're told it doesn't matter how it's distributed on the windshield because somehow when we go around corners, it just stayed there. This was an altered scene, and it's an altered scene because Mr. Knospler drove away. He fled.

Finally, the fairy tale we were told in 6 7 opening statement was that somehow this guy backed 8 up clear across the seat. Well, that's not 9 consistent with anybody's testimony. What did Mr. 10 Daily tell you about the car? He never said the 11 car stalled. He never said the car stopped. He 12 said the tires slipped. And, in fact, when he was 13 asked, Well, did it show that he stopped and then 14 he backed up? He started qualifying, saying, 15 Well, no, no, he's making a right turn. And we 16 all know when we make a right turn or we make a 17 left turn, our back tire is not going to perfectly 18 follow our front tire because we're in the process 19 of turning, thus the two marks. And that's what 20 Mr. Daily said yesterday.

And I asked him to clarify that on cross-examination, Was it a free rolling tire entering that spin? Yes. Was it a free rolling tire coming out of that spin? Yes. No indications of backing up and no indications the

defendant was stopped. It was what you've all experienced if you have a front-wheel drive car. When you go off the pavement and you first hit that snow and slush, what happens? Tire spins. 4 Mr. Andujar said the tires spun.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

And in fact, when we asked Mr. Daily about that, well, could it just mean that he stopped in seven feet and then fired a shot? Нe said, yeah, could be consistent with that. So Mr. Daily kept pushing everything into a category, but this is very general evidence.

12 We also have to look at the defendant's 13 statement and look at what he said to Officer 14 Taylor. Ladies and gentlemen, imagine the 15 situation put to you in opening statement, that he 16 is asleep and he is suddenly awakened by the glass 17 crashing in inside there as his window explodes. 18 Not a cut on him by the way. And so I hurriedly 19 take my gun and I shoot him. Well, it was 20 terrible, but I had to do it.

21 Wait a minute. If that indeed is what 2.2 happened, look at what he said to Officer Taylor 23 when Officer Taylor stops him. You heard the 24 demeanor, I won't go into that again; but when 25 he's asked the questions, what are his responses?

1 Looks like you've got a broken window, 2 what's going on? Did you just get into a fight at 3 the bar? 4 I wonder how that happened there. 5 Now, ladies and gentlemen, you're the reasonable, prudent person we're going to talk 6 7 about in these instructions. Would that be your 8 response if that's just what happened ten minutes 9 ago? Would you be upset? I don't care what your 10 training is. Would you -- you know, but what does 11 he say? I wonder how it happened there. Okay. 12 Did you get into a fight at the bar? 13 I had no altercation with anyone. 14 And again, the officer tries an even more direct question. Okay, how did your window get 15 16 broken? 17 Well, you're going to have to investigate 18 that. Consider the defendant's demeanor and 19 20 conduct and the content of the answers he gave that night. Where is that self-defense? It's not 21 2.2 there. The defendant had the gun, as you can see 23 in the photograph, ready. You can see the 24 backpack has been laying there. And there's 25 certainly no indication he fumbled or tried to get

into the backpack. He either had the gun out in 1 2 his lap or it's sitting right where it is right 3 there. He pulls it out. What does he have to do with it? Well, he has to get it across to where 4 5 it's firing out the window; right? We don't know where. Mr. Daily sure can't tell us that, but we 6 7 know it's got to be pointed out the window because 8 the bullet goes out the window.

9 How come for Mr. Norris's testimony we 10 don't have any pattern if there's no window in that? From everything you heard, this guy is all 11 12 the way in up to his arm. He tests the shirt not 13 just visually, he looked at it chemically. And we 14 know that that lead wipe and stuff survived because the color tests show it. And we know 15 16 right here in the morgue that night, that that 17 bullet wipe he talks about is already present. 18 Now, I guess it just magically only erases some of 19 the lead deposits or some of the powder deposits. 20 You saw at 24 inches there was a very pronounced pattern, although it certainly wasn't as good at 21 2.2 the lower distances.

All those things show that Mr. Baldwin didn't punch that window out. He didn't haul up and punch that thing out, and there's no evidence 1 to support it whether somebody says it's 2 consistent or not. How come the injuries to the 3 hand aren't greater? How come there's no fracture of the hand? How come there's no serious 4 5 How come there's no serious bruising? swelling? How come there's no serious cuts? 6 How come 7 there's no DNA in the car? When all those 8 negatives add up, it didn't happen that way.

9 And then you take a look at what the 10 defendant said to the police that night in 11 response to the questions they asked. Doesn't add 12 up because, ladies and gentlemen, it might not 13 be -- because this is not a contested point, it 14 has not been talked about a lot; but we have to 15 remember that it is absolutely undisputed in this 16 case that this man was unarmed, had nothing in his 17 hands that could be construed to be a weapon, and 18 was gunned down by another fellow sitting in a 19 running car. All those things are absolutely 20 undisputed. And that's a long way -- that doesn't mean that self-defense is impossible; but come on, 21 2.2 folks, where is the necessity? Where is the 23 necessity the judge's instructions talk about? 24 You just don't get to shoot somebody because 25 you're angry or you're having a bad night or maybe

1 you didn't like some drunk jiggling the handle of 2 your car. The law doesn't say that. 3 What else is missing in this evidence to support self-defense? Well, take a look at the 4 5 defendant's actions that night and the evidence that's present for us and the rules the judge gave 6 7 you, particularly in Rule 23. And there's a 8 couple steps to this. First, we look at the 9 person. Did they -- did they have reasonable 10 grounds to and actually believe they were in 11 danger not of getting a punch in the nose, but of serious bodily injury or death? Remember, to make 12 13 somebody the aggressor also, it can't be mere words. You're going to have more than that. 14 The 15 judge has instructed on that. You can read those 16 instructions. 17 The defendant says he was threatened. 18 Doesn't make a lot of sense from the other 19 evidence, but that's what he said happened. But 20 where is the evidence that he had reasonable 21 grounds to believe and actually did believe that 2.2 he was in danger of serious bodily harm or death? 23 That he actually thought that up here, this

25 remember, trained combat veteran, familiar with

trained Marine we've been told relentlessly,

24

1946

1 weapons and physical hand-to-hand combat, where is 2 the evidence that he honestly believed that he was 3 in danger of death or serious bodily injury? Would it be produced in the mind of a 4 5 reasonably prudent person? Reasonably prudent person, ladies and gentlemen, not a reasonably 6 7 prudent drunk man. He is tremendously impaired. 8 How is he even in any shape to assess this 9 situation, yet he has a gun out and at the ready? 10 It's like Mr. Daily said yesterday, it's every bit 11 as dangerous as a car. And that at those kind of 12 blood alcohols, there is no critical judgment. 13 The doctor said much the same thing. None. Dr. 14 Melinek said that his BA would be about the same 15 as the others -- as Mr. Baldwin's, excuse me. 16 So where is that reasonably prudent 17 Where is he? Where is the evidence that person? 18 shows that he reasonably did believe, that he 19 actually believed that he was in that kind of 20 There is none. Even if Mr. Baldwin broke danger? the window, where is the danger of serious bodily 21 2.2 harm or death? 23 Who is the aggressor? Because the judge 24 asked you to determine that. Now, the aggressor

25

is the person who brings force and deadly force

1 into the situation. And that's only one person.
2 That's the defendant. And if he does that, he has
3 an absolute duty to retreat, without question.
4 Now, we know that he could have simply driven
5 away. Mr. Daily even agreed that yes, you know,
6 this is not a serious -- he spun his tire. Drive
7 away. Drive away.

8 Mr. Daily even says, Well, maybe he 9 pulled completely back out of the car before he 10 drove off. Drive away. Drive away. Did he 11 pursue any -- do we have evidence he pursued 12 any other alternative? Does he roll down the 13 window, you know, a half an inch and say, Hey, you 14 got the wrong car? No evidence of that. Does he 15 try to defend himself physically without a weapon? 16 No evidence of that. Does he even take the pistol 17 out, point it at somebody and say, Hey, back off 18 buddy? No evidence of that.

What does he do? Well, there's no evidence of that, but what we have had this whole trial is the constant drumbeat that somehow the defendant is worth more than Kade Baldwin. And it has nothing, absolutely nothing to do with this case. But the defendant isn't off the hook even you were to find that Mr. Baldwin broke that window. Even if you were to find -- before the shot, breaks it after the shot, doesn't matter. You know, and all the doctors talked about, yes, this is very sharp glass. Yes -- Mr. Carver said yes, he can fall into it, that's how these injuries could occur, it would explain these injuries.

8 Because even if he was the quy in the 9 video clip, the law says that prior to resorting 10 to deadly force, the defendant has a duty to pursue reasonable alternatives under the 11 12 circumstances. The defendant may use deadly force 13 only if necessary and must consider reasonable 14 alternatives, which may include retreat before 15 resorting to deadly force. So even if he's that 16 guy in the video clip, ladies and gentlemen, the 17 defendant has a duty to retreat if it's a 18 reasonable alternative. He had every reasonable 19 alternative. Mr. Daily never said that car got 20 stuck or couldn't move or anything like that. Ιt was a spun tire. In fact, Mr. Andujar talks about 21 2.2 it. Mr. Daily even talks about it. 23 They're going to come in and say, Well, 24 Mr. Daily, the State didn't bring him. Yeah, no

25 kidding. He told us it was a contact wound, then

1 that changed. He told us this was a precise 2 recreation. Well, was that really true? Now, I 3 don't care if he's sagging his pants or not, six-inch difference in the inseam, 30 pounds 4 5 difference in the weight. We have them standing in places where we don't know if he stood or not. 6 7 And it's not necessarily his fault because some of 8 these things are unknowable, you just don't have 9 the information; but don't act like you do.

10 Where was the gun? Well, we'll draw a line back and forth. We won't take into account 11 12 the bullet hitting bone. And remember what Dr. 13 Carver said, even as it goes through the soft 14 tissues and the organs, its trajectory will 15 change. And it was a -- the trajectory was downward as noted, but it was also just slightly 16 17 left or right or right to left, excuse me.

So yeah, Mr. Daily's conclusions are open to question. But even in his conclusions, he doesn't get the defendant there. That car isn't prevented from leaving in any way, not a single way. In fact, he said it was just as consistent with pulling up seven feet and popping off a round.

25

Ladies and gentlemen, this isn't about

1 everything that the defendant said. We've talked 2 about many things that were said that had no basis 3 in the evidence at all. And it's not about whether Ms. Hudson served Jameson or a gin and 4 5 What it's about is personal responsibility. fin. Personal responsibility when you take the life of 6 7 another. And as the judge has instructed you, 8 when you take the life of another, it's when it's 9 the last alternative, when it's an absolute 10 necessity. The law does not count as taking a life under those circumstances other than set 11 12 forth in the jury's -- judge's instructions. 13 Finally, ladies and gentlemen, I'd like 14 to talk briefly about the verdict form. You'll go 15 through it, I'm sure you have; but you'll notice 16 that before you can reach one of the 17 lesser-included offenses, you must determine if 18 the defendant is guilty or not guilty of 19 second-degree murder before you proceed to any of 20 those offenses. And in this case, ladies and gentlemen, the State would submit that the 21 2.2 evidence and the law show that you should not go 23 beyond that first option of second-degree murder. 24 Reckless indifference to the value of 25 human life. The way he handled that gun, the way

1 he shot that man out in that parking lot that 2 night without seeking alternatives, isn't that 3 reckless indifference to the value of human life? It's not justified because it doesn't fit the law 4 of self-defense. 5 Would we reward a man that's so drunk he 6

7 doesn't know what he's doing by saying, Oh, you must have been perfectly reasonable when you 9 decided to kill that man? No, that's not a reasonably prudent person. You cannot go beyond that first line of second-degree murder because of the evidence and the law.

8

10

11

12

25

13 And there's responsibility. Where is the 14 personal responsibility of John Knospler? Where 15 is the personal responsibility of the man who 16 blasted a hole in that kid's chest? An unarmed 17 man, a very dead young man, who deserved better 18 than to be gunned down in the parking lot of a 19 That's what the evidence shows, ladies and bar. 20 gentlemen; and we'd ask you to return that verdict. Thank you. 21 2.2 THE COURT: Thank you very much, 23 Counsel. 24 I note that you've used 37, 38 minutes.

MR. BLONIGEN: Thank you, Your

1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of 3 the jury, anyone need a short break? Okay. We'll proceed directly with final arguments on behalf of 4 5 the Defense, then. Mr. Low, you may proceed whenever you're 6 7 ready. 8 MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 Your Honor, I just need one more second. 10 THE COURT: That's fine. 11 MR. LOW: Thank you for that, Your 12 Thank you, gentlemen. Honor. 13 All right, Your Honor. Thank you. I'm 14 just going to grab a chair real quick. If you 15 could set that on the other side. Thank you very 16 much. Appreciate it, sir. 17 Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: You may proceed. 19 MR. LOW: Appreciate it. 20 I'm standing here, I'm being guiet, and I hope it doesn't make you uncomfortable. I'm not 21 2.2 trying to stare you down, but I'm -- this is some 23 incredible responsibility I have, and I take it 24 incredibly seriously. As I stand here in the 25 moment, I ask myself how I'm feeling. I'm

1 grateful. I'm afraid that I'll say the wrong 2 thing, and I'm really afraid I won't say enough. 3 And if you grate the judge like I do, then I'm really afraid I'll say too much and I'll be here 4 5 too long, and I definitely don't want to do that to you because enough is enough. 6 7 But this is an incredible honor for me. 8 How often in our lifetime do we ever get a chance 9 to make a difference in somebody's life? How 10 often in our lives do we ever -- does someone ask 11 us that I -- I need your help. If you could make 12 a difference in someone's life, would you? That man has dedicated his life to 13 14 service, not service of himself, not the pursuit 15 of money or glory for himself. What kind of 16 person believes in something bigger than 17 themselves? Wants to be part of something that 18 stands for something and stands for somebody else? 19 And not only believes in it but sacrifices day 20 after day and year after year and puts his actions on the line on a daily basis for everybody else 21 2.2 and then lives with the consequences of the pain 23 when there's loss? 24 Think of the uncommon person that takes

24 Infink of the uncommon person that takes
 25 care enough about other people's needs to put

theirs last. His mother, Patricia, here. She gave John and her only other son Jacob to us because her family believes in something. They stand for something. And it's not just words; it's action. And I'm incredibly grateful and honored by that, that you think enough of me to stand for something now.

8 I guess one thing we can definitely say 9 is saying don't make it so. Man, you hear people 10 tell you things all the time, that it's gotta be 11 this and it's gotta be that. Argument is not And I tried to highlight in the opening 12 fact. 13 statement that we're going to hear a lot of 14 argument. Argument means that, well, here's my 15 opinion; and since I'm saying it, just -- that's 16 good enough, just take it as so.

Argument is not fact. Opinion represented as fact is a lie. An accusation, that's the same as name calling, and that's what you do when you don't have any facts. I'll get to this in a second.

John was a guest here. He was here because the land made him feel better, and I suspect everybody here may feel the same way. First time I came to Wyoming, I was out there at the Tetons, those big granite peaks. That's magic land. I don't know what it is. That's the most beautiful airport in the world I've ever been to, and I've been to a few. There's just something spiritual about the place. Like Ria will tell you, it's God's country. I understand that now. And so does John.

8 He's a quest here. He is not from out of 9 I don't know why that was on the slide in town. 10 opening statement. What was that supposed to say 11 to you, to say to you in opening statement that 12 he's from out of town? I don't know anybody else 13 right now who's earned the right more than John 14 and his Marine buddies over here in the front row 15 because they've fought for everybody in this 16 country, not just people from Pennsylvania or 17 Chicago, all of us. Are they not welcome here? 18 Is that what we're saying? That don't feel true 19 to me, unless you're willing to pick up a gun and 20 take his place because that would require actions, not accusations. You're welcome wherever in this 21 2.2 country you'd like to go as far as I'm concerned 23 because you earned it. And he's a quest here, 24 isn't he?

25

And I guess that in this case, it's

1 enough for people to say, Well, he didn't look 2 right to me. You know, he had a weird smile. Нe 3 didn't look the same to me. He was creepy. I didn't spend any time to get to know him. I just 4 5 judged him based on the way he was dressed, based on the way he looked, and based on the way he 6 7 wouldn't give me any money. And think about it. 8 It's just an accusation. I don't even have to 9 prove it to you. I just have to say it.

10 You want to know how much that offends 11 Jacob, if somebody doesn't like the way you me? 12 look, I guess there's something wrong with you. 13 Is that who we are? That's disgusting to me. And 14 these men deserve better than that, especially in 15 a court of law. That's insulting to me. And 16 forgive me for my anger. I'm not angry at anybody 17 here, but you know why I'm angry? I guess if I'm 18 open and honest, I'm angry because I'm afraid that 19 that would actually pass.

I heard tell, Oh, you better be careful, Mr. Low, he's from out of town. I will not accept that. I refuse to. I remember during the jury selection process and I asked the question, Does it matter at all that he's from out of town? And I think the words were, That's highly overrated or words to that effect, and I believe that. So I'm just going to pass that because I'm done with that topic now. This is a demonstrative piece of evidence. That just means it doesn't go in the

back with you. We call it demonstrative because it allows me to make a point. And to that -- that degree, this is a door, driver door off a 2007 Chevy Cobalt. That's the same door, it's just a different color. Interior, same interior.

6

7

8

9

10

11 Maybe you're sitting in your car. You 12 know there's lots of places in Wyoming to drive, 13 and there's nowhere to stop maybe except a rest 14 stop or a wide spot on the side of the road. You 15 have a lot of wide open country. If you're 16 responsible, you don't keep driving because you're 17 tired, you know, so you're dozing off a bit. And 18 you know that's really unsafe. You don't want to 19 do that. So you pull over and put it in park and 20 you're going to take a nap.

Now you got a gun in glove box, but you want to be ready. And you reach over and you take it out and lay it where you can get to it, and you close the glove box. It's also snowing real hard and it's dark, real dark. Probably the reason why

1 you're sleepy. Take a nap. And it's peaceful and 2 it's quiet. And you can -- you can tell it's 3 snowing hard by how guiet it is. And the windows are covered up and you're all alone. You're all 4 5 by yourself. It's just you. MR. LAWSON: Get out of the car. 6 7 Get out of the car or I'm going to kill you. 8 MR. LOW: Freeze. What do you do? 9 What do you do? Right now you get the luxury of 10 thinking about it. What if you're all by yourself, alone? What if you have a loved one who 11 12 is sitting next to you? I don't know what I would 13 I heard some people say in jury selection I do. know what they'd like to be able to do. Sure, I'd 14 15 like to be able to be one of those people, and I'd 16 like to think I could do something. I don't know. 17 I don't. 18 But if I had a loved one in the seat next 19 to me and they did something and they grabbed that 20 qun and as this window explodes around me and that person comes in and I've got nowhere to go and 21 2.2 that loved one in the seat next to me takes that 23 qun and -- and unfortunately has to take another 24 life. Would anybody here want to criticize their 25 loved one for saving their life? Can you imagine

saying to your husband, your wife, your brother, or your friend that you shouldn't have done that? I'm going to criticize you now. How dare you save my life? How dare you?

1

2

3

4

5 Justified to do it. Two reasons. Very I'm going to kill you. I'm going to take 6 clear. 7 your life. Clear words of intent followed up by 8 the smashing of a window. That's aggressive and 9 that's angry and that's somebody who is meaning to 10 cause you death or great bodily harm, which you've already read in the jury instruction and I'll show 11 12 in a second. You're absolutely entitled in this 13 moment to defend yourself because it is clear that 14 this person has ill will and has the aggressive 15 force to do something about it. Six-foot-three, 16 232 pounds, 24 years old, and liquored up, and 17 he's coming for you. And you had that much time 18 to think about it. What if it hadn't been John? 19 What might have happened? What if it had been 20 Kevin Elkin? Might be a different kind of trial.

But we deal with facts. And here's a very, very critical point. The law says, the judge says, the jury instructions say, the constitution says, and everybody here in this country agrees that if you're going to accuse

somebody of something, that you've got prove it. Where I'm from, if you call somebody a dirty name or if you call them some kind of name or accuse them of something ugly, man, you better have some 4 really good proof or you're going to have a problem.

1

2

3

5

6

7 You see, it's too easy, the founding 8 fathers of this country, the people had lived in a foreign country and understood what it felt like 9 10 to have the government, the imperial government, just a monarchy, come in and yank you out of your 11 12 bed in the middle of the night and take you down 13 to a dungeon, a tower, a place of absolute death, 14 and stuff you in a concrete tomb or a stone tomb, 15 and you would dwell there. And if it wasn't 16 enough, you couldn't eat and you would freeze, and 17 the conditions were as nasty as you can imagine. 18 It was the absolute torture of not knowing if you 19 would ever get out of there, if you would ever be 20 released, if loved ones had no idea where you were, that would cause people to go insane. 21 It's 2.2 cruel.

23 So those same people who knew how that 24 was to live that way for hundreds of years came up 25 with an incredible and the most beautiful idea,

1 that people like John and his friends like Scott 2 and Steve and the other Steve and Kurt and John's 3 beautiful gal, Holy Nhiza, who's also a Marine, laid their life down for; and that is if you're 4 5 going to call someone and tell them that they've done something dirty, if you're going to point 6 7 your judgmental little finger at them and say 8 they've done something wrong, I want proof. I 9 don't want argument, just facts, because that's 10 what's fair. And what is unfair is to ask him to 11 have to prove it.

12 I'm going to demonstrate for you right 13 now. Grant, I accuse you right now of -- I just looked at you. And, Grant, you were thinking 14 15 about -- well, you were thinking about stealing my 16 Post-its. I know you are. You are a thief. Now 17 get up here and prove you weren't. Prove you 18 weren't thinking of that. What do you think about 19 me now?

20 Maybe I think of something really 21 disgusting. How about this one? Grant, prove you 22 weren't just thinking about molesting a boy. 23 Sometimes the accusation says more about the 24 person making it than it does about the person 25 who's accused of it. And if I'm going to make

1 that kind of disgusting accusation that will brand 2 him for the rest of his life and change his life 3 and his family's life forever, I better have some facts because argument ain't getting it done. 4 5 Wouldn't that be fair that if you're going to say that, you better have some facts? 6 7 Not the kind of facts, well, is it possible, could 8 it be, may be, are there other reasonable 9 conclusions, because that's what you heard out of 10 every single one of the Government's witnesses. 11 The Government in this case were, like, Well, I've 12 got, you know, this potential possibility, but 13 there's all these other ones, but let's just focus 14 on this one. 15 It's not fair to guess somebody into a 16 criminal conviction. Is it? It's not fair to 17 quess somebody into a murder two conviction or now 18 manslaughter, involuntary manslaughter. Is it?

19 So what are the facts? You just heard on 20 the video John tells the officer his life was 21 being threatened and he was a law-abiding citizen. 22 Let's freeze on that one just for a second. Did 23 you hear any proof from the Government at all at 24 any time that would contradict this, that John was 25 being threatened? Did they ever say any 1

contradictory proof?

2 Oh, I know. I heard a little bit about a 3 gal by the name of Cormier who went out to the car, and this is important. Did you notice they 4 5 couldn't show you in any way, shape, or form if she was still in the car when this all went down? 6 7 They don't know what time exactly she got out 8 there. They didn't tell you what time she left. 9 We don't know how long she was there. We know the 10 radio was on, the door was closed. How do you make the leap from, well, there was someone 11 12 somewhere in the parking lot but we don't know 13 when, how long, or what she heard, and that's 14 proof?

15 This is uncontested, uncontroverted. 16 That means he didn't disprove it. And it's his 17 job, according to the jury instruction, it's his 18 mission, it's his duty, it's supposed to be a 19 solemn oath to prove it, not to argue it. And 20 he's got zero. We could walk right there. Because it's been proven by the videotape how John 21 2.2 felt instantly when he's pulled over.

What else do we have? Baldwin punches out the window. Now keep in mind that this got proven not with my witnesses initially, with his.

His own team of investigators and police officers 1 2 while sitting on the stand proved the following 3 facts, facts: broken glass spread throughout the car, long before anybody on the Defense team even 4 5 got close to it. Oh, by the way, how the glass got all over the car, did you notice that that was 6 7 all argument? Did anybody come in here and prove 8 to you any other way that that glass got there 9 that would eliminate and absolutely disprove that 10 it came out from a punch?

And this is critical. Not only does the 11 Government and the Government men have to prove 12 13 their version -- and this is key -- they are 14 required by law to disprove the Defense version. 15 Here's why. Suppose you have a set of facts, like 16 the colors of a pen. One version points towards 17 innocence. The other version points towards 18 quilt. Which version does the law require you to 19 adopt? Require you to adopt? You have to adopt 20 the version that points towards innocence.

It's not enough for him to just take a version. He can't. He has to also disprove the other reasonable conclusions if there are more than one. And he didn't even do that. He didn't even get close. Why? He just picked a version. 1 And if you'll notice, that changed from time to 2 Anybody notice that his version in opening time. 3 is, well, a little different now? That's okay. That's how the evidence sometimes unfolds when 4 5 you're in a possible factual position. He didn't use and have anybody to disprove that this is how 6 7 it happened. Nobody.

8 Injury to the right arm. By the way, and 9 we have it right here, do you recall Dr. Carver 10 when he was on the stand? He wrote that down. 11 Remember Dr. Carver said blunt force injury to the 12 And I wrote it down when he was saying it. hand. 13 Consistent with intent to punch an intact window. 14 Do you remember Dr. Carver said that? Why are we 15 arguing about this? I took the time to ask him 16 those questions and lock him down so there was no 17 confusion and wrote it down right in front of you. 18 That was on Wednesday, December 17th. And then, 19 of course, Mr. Blonigen tried to recall him; and 20 you notice there was that little thing going on, there were some words, and he says, Well, there's 21 2.2 another possible conclusion as well. Okay. 23 How many times did I have to ask the

24 witnesses, Well, which one is it? And what would 25 they say? I don't know, both are possible, one is

1 probable, I don't know. And how many times did I 2 ask them, Wouldn't you have to guess? And you 3 could tell their answers, the ones who were being honest and the ones who didn't want to use that 4 5 word, but they went with it. Broken glass fragments in the back of the 6 7 hand. Remember when Dr. Carver was sitting up 8 there and I said, Sir, could it be one or the 9 other? 10 And he goes, Well, you know, it's -- I 11 can't tell right now. 12 I said, Did you find any other evidence 13 that would help you figure it out? 14 And I remember that moment, he said, No, 15 I don't think there was any. 16 And I said, Are you sure? 17 And he goes, I don't think there was. 18 And I asked him, What about the glass that was stuck in the back of his hand? Did that 19 20 maybe give you an indication of how it may have 21 happened? 2.2 And to his credit, he said, Yes, it's 23 consistent with someone punching through a glass 24 window. 25 And it makes sense. As I hit this

1 window -- now think about it. I'm above the 2 window because I'm six foot three, and I'm 232 3 Where am I going to contact that window? pounds. Up here like this? Or here like this? Look at 4 5 Look at that. Right here. And as my fist that. passes through the glass because I'm not wearing a 6 7 glove, so it's going to cut my skin because it's 8 softer and more supple and tender than a glove. 9 Now the glass is broken, I'm passing through, and 10 it starts leaving fragments in my hand, starts peeling the skin back, and catches my arm, cutting 11 12 my arm and gets my shoulder. 13 See, what I don't understand is that if 14 the thing is already all broken, it'll fall and 15 crumble like you've seen in the other videos. 16 Blunt force trauma, broken glass fragments in the 17 back of the hand, and dicing and grating, which 18 you heard Dr. Carver all said was consistent with 19 someone punching through the window. 20 But let's talk about it. We heard Mr. Blonigen say, Wait a minute, his hand wasn't 21 2.2 broken, so it couldn't have been that. Isn't 23 that -- this is what's amazing to me. If you're 24 going to tell me that the only way to punch 25 through a glass window will always result in a

broken hand, then prove it. Don't argue it.
 Prove it. Show me.

3 And did he ever ask the expert to tell you that? This is a play on words. This is what 4 5 you call a lawyer trick. Is it consistent with, and then he'll say yes, and then he argues and 6 7 throws his own words in later. Let's make it 8 simple. Are you telling us, Doctor, that if you 9 punch a glass window, you have to break your hand? 10 Did you ever hear that question? Nope. Did the doctor ever say that you have to break your hand 11 12 if you punch this glass window? Nope. Did any 13 expert on glass and punching glass come in and 14 tell you that if you punch this glass, every time, 15 every human, that you're going to break your hand? 16 Nope.

17 This is the part about arguing is not 18 facts because if that was true, he'd have proven 19 It's really easy. He has access to experts. it. 20 Just call one up and say, If you're an We all do. expert on this, tell us about it. Why did he 21 2.2 choose not to do that? It's his choice. You see, 23 it's easier to just kind of say is it consistent 24 with one theory and then argue later that if 25 you're going to break this window, you have to

1

break your hand.

	-
2	That's an accusation. Now prove it.
3	Prove it. Because if you can't, you better not
4	say it because someone's life is at stake right
5	now. So you can't even argue that because
6	argument doesn't equal facts.
7	Bullet trajectory. Straight through the
8	body. Let's look at this overhead, if I can
9	it's up.
10	Thank you, Your Honor.
11	This picture sorry. I'm messing it
12	up. There's your case right there. You can see
13	the red string. That represents the bullet path.
14	It's the only explanation that satisfies every bit
15	of fact with no argument, and it came from their
16	expert who they have relied upon for years. And
17	they just decided, Well, we ain't going to tell
18	y'all about that because if you remember, you
19	didn't hear a thing about it in opening statement.
20	You didn't hear a thing about it in opening
21	statement saying, Well, we got an expert, he gave
22	us an opinion, we paid him a lot of money for it,
23	oh, but we just decided today to walk away from
24	it.
25	And here's the really telling fact. When

he was on the stand, this good, honest, decent, 25-year law enforcement man, I asked him, Did they ever come up to you and say, you know what, that don't sound right to me or what about this fact, 4 that doesn't make any sense? And you know what his answer was? No. Never.

1

2

3

5

6

7 So what they tried to do instead is 8 insinuate that along the way, as he's gathering 9 evidence and he has some ideas about what it could 10 be, such as a close gunshot wound, all the tests aren't in yet, thank God for this man that he 11 12 doesn't make up his mind and say, No matter what 13 the evidence looks like, I'm going with this 14 because that's what I have to do. Instead, he 15 adapts, he looks at the facts, and he is 16 open-minded and open to changing his mind as he 17 gets more evidence, and he did exactly that. And 18 when he was done and he gave his opinion, they had 19 no criticism of any kind until Mr. Blonigen comes 20 on the case.

21 And I thought one of the most interesting 2.2 facts of this testimony was yesterday, when I 23 asked Mr. Daily, Well, Highway Patrol Person 24 Sodon -- Sawdon, sorry, Officer Sawdon, did he 25 agree with you?

1 Yes, he did. 2 What about Investigator Ellis? He was 3 there, he was participating, he was helpful. Did he agree? 4 5 And he said, You'd have to ask him. And I said, Well, did he disagree? 6 7 He goes, Well, he didn't say anything to 8 me. 9 Why was it this morning when Investigator 10 Ellis was on the stand do you think that Mr. Blonigen refused to ask his own witness that 11 12 question? Do you agree, Investigator Ellis, with 13 Mr. Daily, 25 years, national reputation, smarter than a lot of people, and certainly much better 14 15 educated than a lot of people focusing in this 16 area? Why didn't they ask him that question? 17 Well, might have a little bit to do with why they 18 didn't show you the inside of that paper bag that 19 had the two shovel fulls of glass in it that 20 looked like it was more like, according to Officer 21 Daily, a half cup of glass in it. 2.2 See how you can just kind of shift it a 23 little bit? See how you can -- saying it doesn't 24 Opinion is not fact. And make it so? 25 representing your opinion as if it's a fact,

1 that's malice. That's reckless. That's 2 manslaughter to me. That's criminal. You should 3 not exaggerate. Facts only. 4 Spinning tire marks in the snow. Did 5 anybody at any point ever contradict the physical evidence, the pictures, or Mr. Daily on this 6 7 point? Nobody. Now, he'll write down right now, 8 you'll see he's getting ready to do it, wait a 9 minute, what about Mr. Andujar? By the way, he 10 used the pronouns "they" quite a bit. Let's make 11 sure we're really clear on this. There was four

12 people standing at that door. Two of them said 13 they didn't start watching until after the guy had fallen down, so now we're down to two people. 14 One 15 was pretty short and had to be lifted, and she 16 said she didn't see it until after anyway. So 17 that leaves with you with Westy, who said he went 18 out there and he saw the guy knocking on the 19 passenger side, and he walked away. When Westy 20 comes back, the guy is already on the ground, so 21 he's out. So that leaves Andujar and the gal, and 2.2 she told you she only saw him fall in the snow. 23 We all know he fell in the snow. It's what 24 happened before then that matters; right? 25 Let's play that video because this is

CLOSING ARGUMENT - LOW

1 important. Here's the Government man's star 2 witness. And by the way, who said how much -- you 3 heard me lock him into this. Did you see the entire time? Did you watch the entire time, Mr. 4 5 Andujar? Judge, we'll need to turn on this station 6 7 over here. 8 MR. BLONIGEN: Well Your Honor, he 9 isn't intending to play Mr. Andujar's statement, 10 is he? That's not an exhibit. 11 MR. LOW: No, that's okay. It's the 12 one you entered into evidence. THE COURT: Which exhibit are we 13 14 talking about? 15 MR. LOW: This station over here, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: It is a received 18 exhibit, though? MR. LOW: Yes, sir. It's received. 19 20 I'll tell you which one it is. It's received Exhibit Number 301, Your Honor. 21 2.2 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 MR. LOW: Yes, go ahead. 24 (State's Exhibit 301 is 25 played in open court.)

CLOSING ARGUMENT - LOW

1 MR. LOW: Pause it. 2 Mr. Andujar, did you watch the entire 3 time? Oh, yes. I watched the entire time. 4 5 Did you ever take your eyes off at any point? 6 7 Never took my eyes off at any point. 8 I wrote this down when we talked to him 9 on the stand. You heard him say it. 10 Go ahead, Grant. Keep going. (State's Exhibit 301 is 11 12 played in open court.) 13 MR. LOW: What's he paying attention 14 to there? What's he paying attention to there? 15 Go ahead and play it. Thank you. 16 Yes, the entire time, never took my eyes 17 off. 18 What's he paying attention to there? And 19 there? And there? And there? And there? And 20 there? There's Mr. Westy back on the scene where 21 2.2 he tells you he's already lying down. Mr. Andujar 23 leans in. 24 Can I have a five-minute warning, please? 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. LOW: Thank you. And freeze. 2 Grant was good enough to sit and detail 3 this for us this morning while we were working it up, and he came up with that this little video 4 5 clip taken by the Government -- I'm sorry, received by the Government, studied by the 6 7 Government. Good investigator was good enough to 8 cut the ten hours plus down to relevant time so we 9 wouldn't force you to watch all of that. And out 10 of this episode here where the man sat on that 11 stand and looked you dead in the eye and lied to 12 you, he lied to you, he didn't watch it the entire 13 time. 14 Grant counted out 118 seconds of 15 opportunity to watch. Total time actually 16 watching: 67 seconds. Total time not looking: 17 51 seconds. That percent was 43.2 percent of the 18 time, Mr. Andujar ain't got a clue what's going 19 on, yet he's going to sit here and tell you what 20 he saw happen. He looked away nine different times. First time, ten seconds; second time, 21 2.2 seven seconds; then two seconds, then two seconds, 23 ten seconds again, eight seconds, two, one, and

> nine. How long does it take to throw a punch? Then you got Sonny. I guess these two

24

25

1 forgot what they told each other. And Sonny tells 2 you, Oh yeah, Mr. Andujar told me it was too dark 3 and too snowy, he couldn't see well. We locked him into that. 4 5 But I thought the interesting thing was that Mr. Andujar told the police, which you heard 6 7 and we played it and you got to hear it, video 8 wasn't working but don't matter, you can still 9 hear it. 10 What did you see? 11 Oh, I saw him get stabbed. 12 I mean, if he's watching like he says he 13 is, which he clearly isn't, then how could he sit 14 here and tell us he got stabbed because that's 15 what he told the police that morning. Come on, 16 man. 17 This is -- this is very important. You 18 could not have got that bullet dent in the truck where it was unless the car had moved forward. 19 20 How do we absolutely, factually know for an absolute certain that the car moved forward? 21 2.2 Because of the black spot in the snow. It's here 23 somewhere. And I'm sorry, I didn't pull it, and 24 you don't want to watch me waste your time looking 25 for it. You've seen enough of that.

1 The car absolutely had to pull forward. 2 You can see it with the tire tracks in the snow, 3 and you can see it based on where the dent was in the truck. Positively had to happen before the 4 dent, otherwise the dent is not there. 5 So why did the car pull forward before 6 7 the shot? We have plenty of argument, but where's 8 the fact? Why would somebody leave or try to 9 leave before they shot when someone supposedly is 10 banging on the passenger window and now is on the 11 driver's side? He is trying to do the thing he

was taught to do and the thing that is reasonable and fair and conscientious, and that's leave. 13 And to his greatest fear, he gets stuck in the snow with a front-wheel drive single drive car and that tire loses traction. It's proven on the facts, 17 it's proven on the evidence.

12

14

15

16

18 And here's the thing. Did Mr. Blonigen 19 bring anybody else, did he bring any other 20 accident reconstructionist to contradict his first 21 No. This is key and it is critical. You one? 2.2 know he had an opportunity to get all kinds of 23 opinions, all kinds of experts. Why wouldn't you 24 bring another one, then, if what you say is true? 25 Why just argue it? Prove it. If he's wrong,

1 prove it because that's your duty and your 2 mission, and that's what the people ask you to do. 3 You see, asking you all to guess isn't fair to you. Why would he ask you to quess? You 4 5 heard me ask you in opening -- or I'm sorry -- in jury selection, how do innocent people get 6 7 convicted? Remember when I asked you all that? 8 How does that happen? I absolutely refuse to 9 believe that it's from jurors who don't care and 10 they want to convict innocent people. I do not 11 believe it and I refuse to. That's not how it 12 happens. 13 What's the next --14 THE COURT: Counsel, per your request, you're at 45 minutes, 5 minutes 15 16 remaining. 17 MR. LOW: Thank you. Appreciate it 18 very much, Your Honor. 19 It happens because people make 20 accusations and they don't bring proof and then try and confuse things. He should have brought 21 2.2 another expert if this man was wrong, but he 23 didn't because he couldn't find one because no one 24 is willing to come and say what you heard one 25 expert say is absolutely absurd. Absurd.

1	Lastly, you got the distance the car
2	moved before it shot, 7.2 feet, before the shot.
3	The GSR on the T-shirt. This is critical. I'm
4	rolling the window down to mimic it being gone.
5	If I'm now leaning in here and I've got my arm in
6	here, look at the angle of me. What's out in
7	front? Here's the T-shirt. Here's the entrance
8	wound right here. Now look at the surface area
9	that is available to absorb that GSR. They looked
10	at one small part of the T-shirt, and they did
11	find it by the way, and we heard about it with
12	Norris, how is that going to get there like that
13	through that little teeny hole in the glass?
14	Well, here's the key. If the guy is in
15	here and you've now slid over and you're back like
16	this, push him away, and you shoot, it goes and
17	hits him in the chest, you got some of the
18	particles inside the door frame, and guess what?
19	They found them there. It's exactly where they
20	were. So it blocks the ability to get on the
21	T-shirt because a lot of the T-shirt is behind the
22	door.
23	What else? Where else could it be? It's
24	going to be on his head, it's going to be on his
25	face, it's going to be on his neck. It's far

CLOSING ARGUMENT - LOW

enough away where it's not going to burn him.
Remember, two feet or less. Actually, the burning
is somewhere around 18 inches. Three feet, four
inches, slide over, you're not going to burn his
face or his skin. But will it leave the sand that
Mr. Norris talked about? Sure.

And here's the thing that really doesn't make sense. They claim they took those samples but they decided not to test them. They took the samples, decided not to test them. Why? And if -- why would you argue something different now when you had the ability to prove it? Don't argue about it.

Not to mention all the other reasons about why because of the conditions outside. I don't have time to go through it, the judge is going to cut me off in a second. I promised, I agreed to it, so it's not his fault. I made an agreement.

Lastly, the coroner said that those glass particles around the epidermal surface -- that means skin, epidermal means skin -- were irregular fragments of refractile material consistent with glass deposited on the surface, epidermal surface. That means they're on the skin, a few of them

1 around the bullet hole. They're not in the wound. 2 They're not dust as somebody tried and slip in 3 hoping no one would listen to or pay attention to. They are irregular fragments out of his own 4 5 expert. Why would he all of a sudden change the word and try and go with dust? Who does 6 Why? 7 that? 8 Judge, I guess that's my time. 9 He's not guilty of murder or manslaughter 10 or involuntary manslaughter because as the jury instruction says, I think it's Number 23, you've 11 12 got them there, self-defense is a complete defense 13 to everything. And being drunk doesn't mean you 14 don't get to defend yourself anymore. It's not 15 what it means. You are entitled to always defend 16 yourself, and it's time to fight for John because 17 now he needs your help. Thank you. Thank you for 18 your patience. 19 THE COURT: Take down the 20 displays --21 MR. BLONIGEN: No, Judge. Leave 2.2 them up, please. 23 THE COURT: Want him to leave the 24 charts also? 25 MR. BLONIGEN: Sure, Judge, let's

1

leave all the props up.

2 This is the story of this case. Right 3 We write stuff on the board the way we want here. to hear it. We don't include everything because 4 5 it's microscopic, remember, the doctor testified that that is on his microscopic study he found 6 7 that. And then we have the door, ladies and 8 gentlemen. And hidden behind the props and the 9 easel is the man who killed. But let's hide him 10 behind the rhetoric, let's hide him behind the 11 props, and not look at the evidence.

Nothing in the law requires you, despite what counsel said, for the State to disprove every fact they allege. The Judge has instructed you on what the law is. You won't find that in there. We don't have to disprove every theory they have. You won't find that in there either.

18 You have to go back to the evidence as presented. Mr. Andujar, yeah, he was looking off 19 20 and everything; but look at when he is paying the most attention. And how, then, if he sees 21 2.2 nothing, is he able to describe the fact that we 23 know is true from other evidence, that he walks to 24 the car, walks around, is leaning against the car 25 door, falls, and then the car takes off? Нe

1 described that on the morning of the event. You 2 don't need experts to tell you what's going on. 3 Experts are not a substitute for your judgment, judgment from the evidence. That's why the judge 4 5 instructed you that it's up to you what parts you take. 6 7 And finally, we talk about the defendant 8 being stuck. Mr. Daily never said anything of the 9 sort, and you can go back and you can look at the 10 pictures. And guess what? We don't have to take 11 an expert's word for something. You may consider --12 13 THE COURT: Counsel, per your 14 request, you have ten minutes remaining. 15 MR. BLONIGEN: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 MR. BLONIGEN: You may consider the 18 evidence presented to you and the reasonable 19 inferences and conclusions which may be drawn 20 therefrom in the light of your knowledge, observation, and experience of life. 21 2.2 We spent half that closing statement 23 talking about nothing that had to do with 24 evidence. Why is it rather than talking about the

25 evidence, we always want to talk about what kind

1 of Marine he was rather than could that really be 2 caused by that? 3 And Dr. Carver did not say punched -- he said -- striking the window is what he said. You 4 5 can go back, talk about what you heard, compare it to what we say. But he is very clear on rebuttal 6 7 that these wounds just don't quite add up to him. 8 That's common sense. You saw what that 9 quy had to do to break that window. Is it 10 impossible to break a window? Do you have to 11 break your hand? No. But wouldn't you at least look at the hand if it was broken? Wouldn't you 12 13 at least stop and say you mean a little cut 14 here -- and remember Dr. Carver says these are 15 just like layers of skin, that's it. And remember one other important thing. 16 17 Mr. Daily said he could proceed and, in fact, he 18 did just proceed and drive away. The tire slipped 19 It continued in the same direction, in the once. 20 same pattern, he said that. Rolling tire going in, rolling tire coming out. So even if you were 21 2.2 to take everything they just said, the defendant 23 had more than an adequate opportunity to retreat, 24 and he has to seek reasonable alternatives. 25 There's got to be a reasonable alternative to

1 James Kade Baldwin laying dead in that parking lot 2 when alls he did was lift a door handle. 3 Mr. Daily said that it would be just as consistent with the defendant going forward, 4 5 stopping, and then firing the shot. He never said he was stuck, he never said he couldn't move, and 6 7 your own common sense tells you that when you look 8 at the stuff. 9 So let's get rid of the stand-in doors. 10 By the way, can you imagine Mr. Baldwin fitting 11 through this thing? Bigger than me, quite a bit 12 bigger than me. When this theory doesn't make 13 sense, you don't have to follow it. 14 When you had all this, the GSR, it was 15 found in these areas. Where is the gun if he's sitting right there because that -- that car seat 16 17 is about there, he has to pick it up from right 18 over there and bring it over, and it's not going to leave any traces? Nothing? Yet his own expert 19 20 said -- Dr. Melinek says indeterminate or intervening target. Mr. Norris said indeterminate 21 2.2 or intervening target. Dr. Carver said 23 indeterminate or intervening target. Mr. Daily 24 says no intervening target but he does say 25 indeterminate. So Mr. Norris, who apparently has

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT - BLONIGEN

1 raised the ire of counsel, his findings were 2 adopted by all three experts, including two the 3 Defense called. So really how unreliable is he? If it would have happened the way the 4 defendant said, there would be a whole 'nother 5 series of physical evidence. That's not guessing, 6 7 that's not asking you to guess. That's applying 8 reasonable inferences to your everyday common sense and experience. And this is a question of 9 10 responsibility. That man took another man's life. 11 Shouldn't take that lightly either. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. 13 We'll now submit the case to the ladies 14 and gentlemen of the jury. First thing we need to do is have Ms. Keffer take the bailiff's oath for 15 16 deliberations. 17 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear 18 that you will take charge of this jury; that when 19 so directed by the Court, you will keep them 20 together and not allow them to separate; that you 21 will not communicate with them about the case or 2.2 allow anyone to communicate with them in any way; 23 and when so directed, return them into this court, 24 so help you God? 25 THE BAILIFF: I do.

1 THE COURT: And Ms. Keffer, let me 2 give you the original instructions and the 3 original verdict form, which is the one that would need to be completed and signed and brought back 4 to Court. 5 Next, Mr. Bartling, when we break here, 6 7 I'm going to ask you to not go with the other 12 8 jurors. Thank you for your service as the 9 alternate. I would ask you to -- if you'd just 10 sort of wait in the bar, Mrs. Tuma will visit with 11 you, help you get any coat or anything that you 12 She'll also take down your phone number and need. 13 be glad to advise you of the final decision in the 14 case since you've been involved with it since the 15 outset. 16 To the remaining 12 of you, ladies and 17 gentlemen, the case is now submitted to you to 18 agree upon a verdict. You're to stay together 19 under the guard of the bailiff. You will confine 20 your deliberations to the jury room and will 21 communicate with no one except yourselves and with 2.2 the bailiff to make any requests that you may have 23 or to announce that you've reached a verdict. Ιf 24 you have any requests that need to be made in any 25 fashion, they need to be made through the bailiff.

1 You will take with you to assist in your 2 deliberations the instructions given by the Court, 3 the exhibits introduced into evidence during trial, and, of course, you may take with you your 4 5 notes. You're not to be given any other materials such as newspapers or books or dictionaries or 6 7 anything else without the permission of the Court. 8 Since there are the video clips that are in 9 evidence, you may use a player and monitor that's 10 available, I believe, in the jury room for your 11 use. 12 If necessary, you may make arrangements 13 through the bailiff for any meal or meals that may 14 be required. 15 I can assure you that the bailiff will 16 prevent anyone from overhearing your 17 deliberations, and that they will remain 18 confidential. Once a verdict is reached, the 19 announcement needs to be made through the bailiff; 20 and I ask that all counsel be available and parties to be back within ten minutes of any call 21 2.2 so that there won't be an undue delay in 23 finalizing the proceedings in this case. 24 With that, the 12 members of the jury 25 panel are excused, and the case is now submitted

1 for the jury's deliberations. 2 (The jury began deliberating at 3 2:44 p.m.) (At 5:17 p.m., the following 4 5 proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the jury:) 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Please be seated. Court will reconvene. 8 9 The Seventh Judicial District Court does 10 come back to order in the State of Wyoming versus John Henry Knospler, Jr., in Criminal Action 11 Number 19548-B. 12 The Court notes for the record the 13 14 presence of the attorneys that have been with us 15 all along, the defendant, Mr. Knospler, and the 12 members of the jury panel. 16 17 The Court is advised that the jury has 18 selected a presiding juror and has reached a 19 verdict. Mr. Huber, you are the presiding juror? 20 PRESIDING JUROR HUBER: That is correct, Your Honor. 21 2.2 THE COURT: Have you completed the jury form or the verdict form on behalf of the 23 24 jury? 25 PRESIDING JUROR HUBER: I have, Your

1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: Would you give it to the 3 bailiff for delivery to the Court. The verdict form is properly executed and 4 5 completed and signed off on, dated on behalf of the jury in this case. So I'll give it to Mrs. 6 7 Tuma for reading here in open court. 8 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. 9 In the Seventh Judicial District Court, 10 Criminal Action 19548-B, the State of Wyoming 11 versus John Henry Knospler, Jr., Verdict. 12 We the jury, duly empaneled and sworn to 13 try the above-entitled cause, to find as follows. 14 Number one, as to the offense of murder in the 15 second degree as charged in the Information, we 16 find the defendant, John Henry Knospler, Jr., 17 quilty. 18 It is dated this 23rd day of December, 19 2014, and it is signed Kevin Huber, presiding 20 juror. 21 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 2.2 Is there any request for the jury to be 23 polled? 24 MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Ask Mrs. Tuma if

1 you would poll the jury at this time. 2 THE CLERK: Susan Shell, is this 3 your verdict? JUROR SHELL: Yes, it is. 4 5 THE CLERK: Britney Butler, is this your verdict? 6 7 JUROR BUTLER: Yes. 8 THE CLERK: Vickie Pavey, is this 9 your verdict? 10 JUROR PAVEY: Yes. 11 THE CLERK: Michael Martinez, is 12 this your verdict? 13 JUROR MARTINEZ: Yes. 14 THE CLERK: Robin Archer, is this 15 your verdict? 16 JUROR ARCHER: Yes. 17 THE CLERK: Elizabeth Luers, is this 18 your verdict? 19 JUROR LUERS: Yes. 20 THE CLERK: Kaylee Neal, is this 21 your verdict? 2.2 JUROR NEAL: Yes. 23 THE CLERK: Elizabeth Kurtz, is this 24 your verdict? 25 JUROR KURTZ: Yes.

1 THE CLERK: Katie Pearson, is this 2 your verdict? 3 JUROR PEARSON: Yes. 4 THE CLERK: Carole Christman, is 5 this your verdict? 6 JUROR CHRISTMAN: Yes. 7 THE CLERK: Carolyn Richmond, is 8 this your verdict? 9 JUROR RICHMOND: Yes. 10 THE CLERK: Kevin Huber, is this 11 your verdict? 12 PRESIDING JUROR HUBER: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 14 Based upon the poll of the jury and the returned 15 and properly executed verdict form, I'll ask Mr. Blonigen and the District Attorney's Office to 16 17 prepare an order establishing the judgment of the 18 jury for the offense of murder in the second 19 degree. 20 The next thing I need to do is to excuse 21 the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. And I do so 2.2 with a couple of advisements. First of all, there 23 was the advisement that you were to not talk to 24 anyone about the case. That is lifted. You may 25 now talk to anyone you wish to discuss the case

1 with, but you need not talk to anyone. And if 2 anyone should persist in discussing the case with 3 you over your objection or if anyone should be critical of your jury service, please report it to 4 5 me, and we'll take immediate action. So to clarify, that requirement that you not discuss the 6 7 case is lifted, but it's in your court totally as 8 to whether you wish to discuss the case with 9 anyone.

10 The second thing I'd like to do is to 11 just say thanks for your jury service. I never 12 figured out a good way to fully express all of the 13 thanks that the Court has for the service of 14 jurors in difficult cases such as this. But I 15 have adopted the policy of looking for quotes that 16 best express some of the sentiments relative to 17 jury service. And I have a recent professional 18 publication of an organization that I'm involved 19 with, and it has an article by William G. Young. 20 And he's a Federal District Court judge, I believe 21 out of Massachusetts.

Two quotes from his article. Ninety percent of the jury trials on the planet take place in the United States of America. No country uses juries, the direct democracy of the people,

1 more than we do; it is a part of our DNA as 2 Americans. 3 And then second, sort of I think concluding his comments and thoughts, he says, Now 4 5 on the 16th of April last year, and on many other occasions because I'm the juror liaison in our 6 7 court, I went down to greet the jurors. And I 8 looked out there, and there they were: 9 firefighters, teachers, average Americans. And 10 I'm here to tell you that they have the capacity 11 to govern. Every single jury trial in which you 12 participate is both a test and a celebration of 13 the free people governing themselves. 14 And I concur in that. The importance of 15 the jury trial to our democratic society cannot be 16 understated. So on behalf of the Seventh Judicial 17 District Court, I will excuse you at this time. 18 You're free to go. 19 Is there anything else to be taken up at 20 this time other than ordering the presentence investigation, Mr. Blonigen? 21 2.2 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, we would move -- pursuant to Rule 46.2, there is a 23 24 presumption of detention given the serious nature 25 of this offense and the defendant's poor contact

1 with the community. According to Rule 46.2, a 2 defendant who has been found quilty of an offense 3 and is awaiting sentence, be detained unless this Court can specifically find no risk to anyone in 4 5 the community and no risk of flight. So pursuant to Rule 46.2, we'd ask for detention at this time. 6 7 THE COURT: I'll take that up here 8 directly, but why don't I excuse the ladies and 9 gentlemen of the jury panel, again with my thanks. 10 And we'll reconvene after they've exited. (The following proceedings 11 12 were held in open court, out of the presence of 13 the jury:) 14 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Ι will reconvene briefly as to the State's request 15 16 pursuant to Rule 46.2. Anything from the Defense? 17 MR. LOW: Been out on bond, guite a 18 bit of bond. More importantly, half of that is 19 not even his. He doesn't have a passport. He's 20 not going anywhere. His family is here, it's Christmas. He's going to come back, like he 21 2.2 always has, and face what's coming to him. 23 So I'd ask you to show some compassion 24 and let him spend some time with his family since 25 they traveled all this way. He'll come back when

1 you tell him to. 2 THE COURT: As I recall, were there 3 specific orders relative to the passport and firearms in Circuit Court? 4 5 MR. BLONIGEN: At least as to the passport, yes, Judge, and firearms. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else 8 from the State? 9 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, the rule 10 says there's a presumption unless it's overcome by 11 evidence. I haven't seen any evidence. 12 Well, I think there THE COURT: 13 probably is the evidence of the defendant making 14 the court appearances. We've had several of them 15 in this case. I think notifying the Court of a change in address, but he has remained out of 16 17 state. Obviously, he's appeared here at this 18 trial, and there's the evidence of the bond. 19 But I would agree with the State, Rule 20 46.2 is very specific. And I believe the 21 defendant should be remanded to the custody of the 2.2 sheriff at this time, pursuant to Rule 46.2, given 23 the risk of flight, potential danger given the 24 nature of the offense, and the considerations that 25 are included in file relative to bond.

1	So I will remand you to the custody of
2	the sheriff, and we'll get the presentence
3	investigation going at this time.
4	Thank you very much. Court will stand
5	adjourned.
6	(The trial proceedings adjourned
7	at 5:26 p.m., December 23, 2014.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	I, JONI L. CHANEY, Official Court
4	Reporter within and for the Seventh Judicial
5	District Court of Wyoming, do hereby certify that
6	I reported by machine shorthand the proceedings
7	contained herein and that the foregoing 1998 pages
8	constitute a full, true, and correct transcript.
9	Dated this 8th day of June, 2015, at
10	Casper, Wyoming.
11	
12	
13	JONI L. CHANEY, RPR Official Court Reporter
14	official could Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	